

Brief Filed in Litigation Challenging the NLRB's Final Rule Requiring All Employers to Post Notice of Employee Rights Under the NLRA

November 16, 2011

On August 25, the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB or Board) issued a Final Rule (Rule) that requires all employers subject to the Board's jurisdiction—i.e., the vast majority of employers doing business in the United States—to post a notice in the workplace informing employees of their right, among other things, to "[o]rganize a union," to "take action . . . to improve your working conditions by, among other means, raising work-related complaints directly with your employer or with a government, and seeking help from a union," and to "strike and picket."

Under the Rule, the notice must be posted in the same place where other employment-related notices are posted, which may include the employer's intranet or Internet site if the employer customarily communicates with its employees by such means. Failure to post the notice could have three adverse effects: (1) it will be an unfair labor practice under Section 8(a)(1) of the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA), (2) it could toll the six-month statute of limitations for filing unfair labor practices, and (3) it could be used as evidence of an employer's unlawful motive in unfair labor practice cases.

The Rule is scheduled to go into effect on January 31, 2012.

The Status of the Litigation Challenging the Rule

After the Rule was announced, three separate lawsuits were filed in federal court to block its implementation: two in Washington, D.C. (which were consolidated into one case) and one in South Carolina. The cases challenge, among other things, the NLRB's authority to issue the Rule.

Cross-motions for summary judgment were filed on October 26 in the District of Columbia action and on November 11 in the South Carolina action. On November 15, John Kline, the Chairman of the House of Representatives' Committee on Education and the Workforce, along with 35 other members of the House of Representatives, filed in both pending cases an amicus brief supporting the challenge to the Board's authority to issue the Rule.

The amicus brief was authored by Morgan Lewis attorneys, led by Philip Miscimarra and including former NLRB member Charles Cohen. "Our brief was filed on behalf of thirty-six members of Congress, including John Kline, Chairman of the House Committee on Education and the Workforce, many other members of that Committee, and additional House Members. Their interest in the litigation stems from the fact that legislative decisions are reserved for Congress. The Members we represent

believe the NLRB's creation of a notice-posting obligation—which Congress did not place into the National Labor Relations Act—is contrary to the NLRA and exceeds the NLRB's authority," Miscimarra said.

The brief highlights for the first time in either litigation important legislative history showing that the original version of the NLRA contained a notice provision and a specific unfair labor practice relating to the notice provision. Led by Senator Robert Wagner, the sponsor of the law, a unanimous Senate Labor Committee intentionally *eliminated* the notice provision before the NLRA became law. "As the legislative history makes clear, Senator Wagner himself, together with his colleagues, thought there should be no requirement for companies to provide notification to employees. It is time for the NLRB to honor those wishes and abandon its ill-fated notice requirement," said Cohen.

The amicus brief also discusses how Congress intentionally limited the NLRB's jurisdiction to actual parties in pending cases—a limitation that was deemed by Congress to be central to the NLRA's constitutionality. Finally, the amicus brief argues that the new NLRB-created notice obligation undermines important rights afforded by other statutes that explicitly provide for notice provisions. View a copy of the amicus brief at http://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/AmicusBriefUSHouse Members DC 15nov11.pdf. A decision regarding whether the NLRB had the authority to issue the Rule is expected before the current implementation date of January 31, 2012.

If you would like more information or have any questions about the issues discussed in this LawFlash, please contact any of the following Morgan Lewis attorneys:

Washington, D.C.		
Charles I. Cohen	202.739.5710	ccohen@morganlewis.com
Jonathan C. Fritts	202.739.5867	jfritts@morganlewis.com
John F. Ring	202.739.5096	jring@morganlewis.com
Joseph E. Santucci	202.739.5398	jsantucci@morganlewis.com
Chicago		
Philip A. Miscimarra	312.324.1165	pmiscimarra@morganlewis.com
Ross H. Friedman	312.324.1172	rfriedman@morganlewis.com
Houston A. John Harper II	713.890.5199	aharper@morganlewis.com
Los Angeles Clifford D. Sethness	213.612.1080	csethness@morganlewis.com
New York Doreen S. Davis	215.963.5376	dsdavis@morganlewis.com
Philadelphia Joseph C. Ragaglia	215.963.5365	jragaglia@morganlewis.com

About Morgan Lewis's Labor and Employment Practice

Morgan Lewis's Labor and Employment Practice includes more than 265 lawyers and legal professionals and is listed in the highest tier for National Labor and Employment Practice in *Chambers USA 2011*. We represent clients across the United States in a full spectrum of workplace issues,

including drafting employment policies and providing guidance with respect to employment-related issues, complex employment litigation, ERISA litigation, wage and hour litigation and compliance, whistleblower claims, labor-management relations, immigration, occupational safety and health matters, and workforce change issues. Our international Labor and Employment Practice serves clients worldwide on the complete range of often complex matters within the employment law subject area, including high-level sophisticated employment litigation, plant closures and executive terminations, managing difficult HR matters in transactions and outsourcings, the full spectrum of contentious and collective matters, workplace investigations, data protection and cross-border compliance, and pensions and benefits.

About Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

With 22 offices in the United States, Europe, and Asia, Morgan Lewis provides comprehensive transactional, litigation, labor and employment, regulatory, and intellectual property legal services to clients of all sizes—from global Fortune 100 companies to just-conceived startups—across all major industries. Our international team of attorneys, patent agents, employee benefits advisors, regulatory scientists, and other specialists—nearly 3,000 professionals total—serves clients from locations in Beijing, Boston, Brussels, Chicago, Dallas, Frankfurt, Harrisburg, Houston, Irvine, London, Los Angeles, Miami, New York, Palo Alto, Paris, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Princeton, San Francisco, Tokyo, Washington, D.C., and Wilmington. For more information about Morgan Lewis or its practices, please visit us online at www.morganlewis.com.

This LawFlash is provided as a general informational service to clients and friends of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. It should not be construed as, and does not constitute, legal advice on any specific matter, nor does this message create an attorney-client relationship. These materials may be considered **Attorney Advertising** in some states.

Please note that the prior results discussed in the material do not guarantee similar outcomes.

© 2011 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. All Rights Reserved.