

## Miami-Dade County Adopts Aggressive New Wage Theft Ordinance

April 22, 2010

Employers who do business in Miami-Dade County, Florida (the County) must be aware that the County recently enacted an ordinance prohibiting wage theft. Ordinance No. 10-16, which became effective on March 1, 2010 (Wage Theft Ordinance), is believed to be the first county law of its nature in the country.

The stated policy of the Wage Theft Ordinance is to “eliminate and prevent wage theft”; to promote “business and economic development through the elimination of unfair economic competition by unscrupulous businesses that do not pay or that underpay their employees”; and to relieve “the burden on the public that subsidize unscrupulous employers whose employees are forced to rely on public assistance because of unpaid or underpaid wages.” An employer who is found to have violated the Wage Theft Ordinance by unlawfully failing to pay any portion of wages due will have to pay back pay and liquidated damages to the employee in addition to administrative fees and hearing costs.

### Coverage of the Wage Theft Ordinance

The Wage Theft Ordinance applies to *any employer* who fails to pay any portion of wages due to an employee, within a reasonable time from the date on which the employee performed the work. The term “employee” is defined as “a natural person who performs work within the geographic boundaries of Miami-Dade County” but excludes bona fide independent contractors. The term “independent contractor” has the “same meaning as in the Internal Revenue Code and implementing federal regulations.” The term “employer” includes “any person who, acting either individually or as an officer, agent, or employee of another person, acts directly or indirectly in the interest of a person or entity employing an employee.” Thus, individuals can be liable under the Wage Theft Ordinance.

The Wage Theft Ordinance excludes limited categories of employers, namely certain government entities and Indian tribes. A reasonable time for payment of wages is no later than 14 calendar days after the date on which the work is performed, but the employer and employee may execute an express agreement to extend the time, not to exceed 30 days after the date on which the work is performed.

The ordinance’s definition of “wage rate” specifically states: “Wage rate shall mean any form of monetary compensation which the employee agreed to accept in exchange for performing work for the employer, whether *daily, hourly, or by piece* but in all cases shall be equal to no less than the highest applicable rate established by operation of any federal, state or local law.” (Emphasis added). Thus, the definition does not identify salary as a form of payment of wages; as a result, it is unclear if the ordinance applies to salaried employees. Moreover, as it addresses wage rates only, it is not clear

whether the ordinance applies to other forms of compensation, such as bonuses, commissions, severance, and vacation pay.

### **Policy of Promoting Conciliation**

The Wage Theft Ordinance establishes a procedure designed to encourage conciliation of wage theft disputes. If a complaint is filed, the County will work with the parties to attempt to reconcile the matter before the dispute is referred to a hearing examiner. In the event a party believes the conciliation agreement has been breached, the party may seek enforcement of the agreement by filing a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction.

### **Procedure for Filing Wage Theft Complaints**

The Wage Theft Ordinance provides a procedure for filing and adjudicating wage theft claims. An aggrieved employee or an entity that has an aggrieved member may file a complaint with the County if the amount of unpaid wages meets a threshold amount of \$60. All complaints must be filed no later than one year after the work was performed and not properly compensated, except in the cases of ongoing violations in which the County's enforcement is limited only by the applicable statutes of limitations.

Once the County determines that the complaint alleges wage theft and meets the threshold amount, it will serve the complaint and written notice on the accused employer. A party may then request a hearing before a Hearing Examiner appointed by the County within 15 days after service. Upon motion by either party, discovery is permitted as provided by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

The burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence rests on the complaining employee. However, when an employer has an obligation to keep records of compensation and hours worked, and such records are imprecise, inadequate, or nonexistent, and the complaining employee presents sufficient evidence upon which a reasonable inference can be drawn as to the work done and unpaid compensation, the burden of such imprecision falls on the employer. In that case, the employer must provide evidence of the amount of work performed or other evidence to negate the inference of reasonableness, or the hearing examiner may award approximate damages based on the employee's evidence. The hearing examiner's decision is subject to appeal in a court of competent jurisdiction.

The hearing examiner has the authority to consolidate two or more complaints "where such complaints name the same respondent(s) and involve sufficiently similar allegations of fact to justify consolidation." If, however, a case is filed by the employee in another court, or he/she opts in to a case based on the same allegations, the case before the hearing examiner will be dismissed.

### **Damages and Enforcement**

Once a hearing examiner finds a wage theft violation, the employer must pay to the complainant employee an amount equal to three times the amount of back wages, which includes "liquidated damages as compensation for the economic losses suffered by reason of the employee not receiving his or her wage at the time it was due," and must pay to the Board of County Commissioners an assessment of costs in an amount not to exceed actual administrative processing costs and costs of the hearing. If an employer fails to comply with the Hearing Examiner's order, the County may extend the time to comply up to 45 days upon request of the employer, if an extension has not previously been granted. Thereafter, the hearing examiner shall order the employer to pay the accrual of interest on the delinquent damage award and the cost assessment of the hearing.

### **Next Steps to Protect Your Company**

The Wage Theft Ordinance exposes many employers in Miami-Dade County to liability. While there is

speculation about a possible amendment to address concerns with the draconian nature of the Ordinance, employers should nonetheless take necessary steps to comply. All employers should adjust their payroll schedules to comply with the requirement to pay employees within 14 days of the performance of the work. In the event that employees are not paid within the default time prescribed, employers should execute explicit signed written agreements with employees extending the time for payment to no more than 30 days, the maximum period of time permitted under the Wage Theft Ordinance. As usual, employers should continue to meet their statutory and/or regulatory obligations to keep adequate records.

If you would like more information or have any questions regarding any of the issues discussed in this LawFlash, please contact any of the following Morgan Lewis attorneys:

**Miami**

Anne Marie Estevez  
Mark E. Zelek

305.415.3330  
305.415.3303

[aestevez@morganlewis.com](mailto:aestevez@morganlewis.com)  
[mzelek@morganlewis.com](mailto:mzelek@morganlewis.com)

**About Morgan Lewis’s Labor and Employment Practice**

Morgan Lewis’s Labor and Employment Practice includes more than 265 lawyers and legal professionals and is listed in the highest tier for National Labor and Employment Practice in *Chambers USA 2009*. We represent clients nationwide in a full spectrum of workplace issues, including drafting employment policies and providing guidance with respect to employment-related issues, complex employment litigation, ERISA litigation, wage and hour litigation and compliance, whistleblower claims, labor-management relations, immigration, occupational safety and health matters, and workforce change issues.

**About Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP**

With 22 offices in the United States, Europe, and Asia, Morgan Lewis provides comprehensive transactional, litigation, labor and employment, regulatory, and intellectual property legal services to clients of all sizes—from global Fortune 100 companies to just-conceived startups—across all major industries. Our international team of attorneys, patent agents, employee benefits advisors, regulatory scientists, and other specialists—more than 3,000 professionals total—serves clients from locations in Beijing, Boston, Brussels, Chicago, Dallas, Frankfurt, Harrisburg, Houston, Irvine, London, Los Angeles, Miami, Minneapolis, New York, Palo Alto, Paris, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Princeton, San Francisco, Tokyo, and Washington, D.C. For more information about Morgan Lewis or its practices, please visit us online at [www.morganlewis.com](http://www.morganlewis.com).

This LawFlash is provided as a general informational service to clients and friends of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. It should not be construed as, and does not constitute, legal advice on any specific matter, nor does this message create an attorney-client relationship. These materials may be considered **Attorney Advertising** in some states. Please note that the prior results discussed in the material do not guarantee similar outcomes.

**© 2010 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. All Rights Reserved.**