## Morgan Lewis



### employee benefits lawflash

April 9, 2014

# IRS Releases Guidance for Effective Date of Same-Sex Spousal Retirement Rights

Plan sponsors now know how and by when retirement plans must comply with the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in Windsor.

The IRS has released its long-awaited guidance on the effective date by which qualified retirement plans must recognize same-sex spousal rights as a result of the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *United States v. Windsor.*<sup>1</sup> As anticipated, the IRS guidance set forth in IRS Notice 2014-19 does not apply same-sex spousal rights retroactively.<sup>2</sup> Instead, retirement plans must recognize statutory spousal rights for same-sex spouses, such as the right to consent to the participant's waiver of a qualified joint and survivor annuity, beginning June 26, 2013, the date of the *Windsor* decision. Similarly, retirement plans are required to recognize same-sex marriage based on the "state of celebration" rule, rather than a "state of domicile" rule, beginning September 16, 2013, the date of earlier IRS guidance that established the state of celebration standard.

### **Background**

The *Windsor* decision held that the federal Defense of Marriage Act (DOMA) provision establishing marriage for federal law purposes as a legal union between opposite-sex spouses was unconstitutional. Based on DOMA, many plan sponsors limited spousal rights under their retirement plans accordingly, while other plan sponsors voluntarily extended those rights to same-sex spouses and other same-sex partners. The *Windsor* decision effectively required many of those spousal rights to extend to same-sex spouses.

Earlier IRS guidance in Revenue Ruling 2013-17 clarified the extension of those rights in some respects by (1) establishing that the validity of a same-sex marriage is based on its recognition by the state of celebration (the state where the marriage ceremony occurred), rather than its recognition by the same-sex spouses' current state of domicile, and (2) declining to extend those rights to same-sex relationships that are not denominated as marriage under state law (e.g., domestic partnerships). However, this earlier IRS guidance did not establish any specific rules about how and when qualified retirement plans needed to comply with these new same-sex spousal rules and requirements.

#### **New Guidance**

IRS Notice 2014-19 provides additional and helpful guidance for the timing and scope of the implementation of same-sex spousal rights by qualified retirement plans. The following are some of the key points covered by the new guidance:

 As noted, operational compliance for plan qualification purposes is required as of June 26, 2013. A plan's failure to recognize same-sex marriage prior to that date will not adversely affect the plan's tax-qualified status.

<sup>1. 133</sup> S. Ct. 2675 (2013).

<sup>2.</sup> View IRS Notice 2014-19 at http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-drop/n-14-19.pdf.

<sup>3.</sup> View Revenue Ruling 2013-17 at http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-drop/rr-13-17.pdf.

### Morgan Lewis

- Similarly, recognition of same-sex marriage based on state of celebration is required for plan qualification purposes as of September 16, 2013. For periods prior to that date, plans may apply a state of domicile rule.
- Notwithstanding these mandatory compliance dates, plans may nevertheless choose to recognize same-sex marriage for some or all purposes prior to those dates. The IRS acknowledges that those plan sponsors that have voluntarily recognized same-sex relationships before they were required to do so have not thereby jeopardized their plans' tax-qualified status. Those plans that have not previously recognized same-sex relationships prior to the mandatory compliance dates may also now choose to do so, but those plans will have to ensure that they are able to meet retroactive amendment restrictions and operational administrative hurdles.
- Plans need only be amended to comply with mandatory requirements if they include provisions that are
  inconsistent with recognition of same-sex marriage, (e.g., if the plan defines "marriage" or "spouse" by
  reference to DOMA).
- If amendments are required for compliance, those amendments are due by the later of December 31, 2014 or the end of the plan's otherwise applicable 2013 remedial amendment period for required amendments—generally September 15, 2014 for calendar year plans with calendar year sponsors. As such, the amendment deadline is December 31, 2014 for calendar year plans with calendar year sponsors, but fiscal year plans with fiscal year sponsors may have later amendment deadlines. Any such required amendments will be exempt from otherwise applicable restrictions on amendments increasing benefit liabilities because of failure to satisfy statutory funding targets.
- Any amendments voluntarily extending same-sex spousal rights to periods before the mandatory compliance dates, or amendments to extend those rights to same-sex relationships other than marriage, will be treated as discretionary amendments. Those amendments will be due by the later of December 31, 2014 or the end of the plan year that includes June 26, 2013—generally December 31, 2013 for calendar year plans with calendar year sponsors. Again, fiscal year plans with fiscal year plan sponsors may have later amendment deadlines. Further, these amendments will be subject to any otherwise applicable restriction on amendments increasing benefit liabilities because of failure to meet statutory funding targets.
- One important point to note is that the limits on retroactivity described in IRS Notice 2014-19 only apply for tax
  qualification purposes. As such, the IRS guidance does not provide relief from any individual claims that a
  same-sex spouse may seek to bring asserting spousal rights for retirement plan benefits accruing before the
  Windsor decision. Absent any subsequent administrative relief (which is not expected), it appears that any
  such claims must be handled through a plan's claim and appeals procedures and, perhaps ultimately, the
  courts

Now that this guidance is available, plan sponsors should evaluate whether any conforming plan amendments are necessary or desirable and, if so, ensure that they are properly adopted by the applicable deadline (which will be by the end of 2014 for most plans).

### Contacts

If you have any questions or would like more information on the issues discussed in this LawFlash, please contact any of the following Morgan Lewis lawyers:

Chicago Brian D. Hector Marla J. Kreindler Julie K. Stapel	312.324.1160 312.324.1114 312.324.1113	bhector@morganlewis.com mkreindler@morganlewis.com jstapel@morganlewis.com
<b>New York</b> Craig A. Bitman	212.309.7190	cbitman@morganlewis.com
Philadelphia Robert L. Abramowitz Brian J. Dougherty Vivian S. McCardell	215.963.4811 215.963.4812 215.963.5810	rabramowitz@morganlewis.com bdougherty@morganlewis.com vmccardell@morganlewis.com

### Morgan Lewis

Steven D. Spencer	215.963.5714	sspencer@morganlewis.com
Pittsburgh		
Lisa H. Barton	412.560.3375	lbarton@morganlewis.com
John G. Ferreira	412.560.3350	<u>iferreira@morganlewis.com</u>
R. Randall Tracht	412.560.3352	rtracht@morganlewis.com
Washington, D.C.		
Althea R. Day	202.739.5366	aday@morganlewis.com
David R. Fuller	202.739.5990	dfuller@morganlewis.com
Mary B. (Handy) Hevener	202.739.5982	mhevener@morganlewis.com
Gregory L. Needles	202.739.5448	gneedles@morganlewis.com

#### About Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Founded in 1873, Morgan Lewis offers more than 1,600 legal professionals—including lawyers, patent agents, benefits advisers, regulatory scientists, and other specialists—in 25 offices across the United States, Europe, Asia, and the Middle East. The firm provides comprehensive litigation, corporate, transactional, regulatory, intellectual property, and labor and employment legal services to clients of all sizes—from globally established industry leaders to just-conceived start-ups. For more information about Morgan Lewis or its practices, please visit us online at <a href="https://www.morganlewis.com">www.morganlewis.com</a>.

#### IRS Circular 230 Disclosure

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein. For information about why we are required to include this legend, please see http://www.morganlewis.com/circular230.

This LawFlash is provided as a general informational service to clients and friends of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. It should not be construed as, and does not constitute, legal advice on any specific matter, nor does this message create an attorney-client relationship. These materials may be considered **Attorney Advertising** in some states. Please note that the prior results discussed in the material do not guarantee similar outcomes. Links provided from outside sources are subject to expiration or change. © 2014 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. All Rights Reserved.