Morgan Lewis



employee benefits lawflash

November 13, 2012

PBGC Changes Enforcement Policy Under ERISA Section 4062(e)

No action will be taken against creditworthy companies or small pension plans; no financial guarantees will be required for approximately 92% of applicable employer-plan sponsors.

On November 2, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC) announced that, going forward, it will generally take no action to enforce Section 4062(e) of ERISA against "creditworthy" companies or small pension plans with 100 participants or less. Instead, PBGC will concentrate its Section 4062(e) enforcement efforts on those companies where the risk of defaulting on their pension plan obligations still remains substantial. Companies that are "financially sound" by existing measures will not be required to provide financial assurance of any kind. As a result, PBGC expects that 92% of companies that sponsor defined benefit pension plans will not face enforcement. PBGC previously enforced all Section 4062(e) cases without regard to the size of the plan or the financial health of the company sponsor.

Background

Section 4062(e) requires companies with defined benefit pension plans to report to PBGC when they cease operations at a facility and more than 20% of the employees who are plan participants are separated from employment in connection with the facility shutdown. In such a case, Section 4062(e) generally requires the company to provide financial security to protect the pension plan, such as making additional contributions or providing a financial guarantee.

In August 2010, PBGC published proposed regulations under Section 4062(e) that, among other things, (i) provided guidance on whether and when a "Section 4062(e) event" occurs, (ii) described the liability that arises and how the liability is satisfied, (iii) prescribed recordkeeping requirements, and (iv) provided for waivers in appropriate circumstances. The issuance of these proposed regulations drew an immediate and heated response from the business community on virtually all aspects of the proposed regulations. Among these comments, the business community pointed out that Section 4062(e) was sometimes applied when there was little or no risk of default either to pension plans or to PBGC because the plan was small or the company involved had the financial strength to stand behind its pension obligations.

In light of the overwhelming public comments, PBGC announced that it would issue new proposed regulations interpreting Section 4062(e), and, in March 2012, PBGC announced that it would soften Section 4062(e) enforcement for employers in strong or moderately strong financial condition. The approach categorized plan sponsors into three classes based upon their financial strength. After determining that a Section 4062(e) event had occurred, PBGC would classify the employer-plan sponsor as a "strong company," a "moderately strong company," or a "weak company." The company's status would determine the extent of its funding liability. This approach has since given way to PBGC's most recent announcement that it will only take action to enforce Section 4062(e) against companies where the risk of default remains substantial.

^{1.} Press Release, Pension Benefit Guar. Corp., PBGC Targets Enforcement Efforts to Preserve Pensions (Nov. 2, 2012), available at http://www.pbgc.gov/news/press/releases/pr12-32.html.

Morgan Lewis

Implications

Under the new PBGC enforcement policy, the agency will take no action to enforce Section 4062(e) against "creditworthy" companies or small pension plans with 100 participants or less. PBGC is using this enforcement policy as a pilot program that may be modified based on experience and to help the agency decide what changes may be required to the proposed regulations. However, PBGC indicated that its announcement does not change its current position as to the applicability of Section 4062(e) (e.g., the meaning of key terms and in what circumstances Section 4062(e) is triggered) or the liability that is created when Section 4062(e) is triggered.

Contacts

If you have any questions or comments on PBGC's latest announcement regarding ERISA Section 4062(e) or any other similar matter, please contact any of the following Morgan Lewis attorneys:

Chicago Brian D. Hector Marla J. Kreindler Julie K. Stapel	312.324.1160 312.324.1114 312.324.1113	bhector@morganlewis.com mkreindler@morganlewis.com jstapel@morganlewis.com
New York Craig A. Bitman	212.309.7190	cbitman@morganlewis.com
Philadelphia Robert L. Abramowitz Brian J. Dougherty I. Lee Falk Vivian S. McCardell Steven D. Spencer	215.963.4811 215.963.4812 215.963.5616 215.963.5810 215.963.5714	rabramowitz@morganlewis.com bdougherty@morganlewis.com ilfalk@morganlewis.com vmccardell@morganlewis.com sspencer@morganlewis.com
Pittsburgh Lisa H. Barton John G. Ferreira Lauren B. Licastro R. Randall Tracht	412.560.3375 412.560.3350 412.560.3383 412.560.3352	lbarton@morganlewis.com jferreira@morganlewis.com llicastro@morganlewis.com rtracht@morganlewis.com
Washington, D.C. Althea R. Day David R. Fuller Mary B. (Handy) Hevener Gregory L. Needles	202.739.5366 202.739.5990 202.739.5982 202.739.5448	aday@morganlewis.com dfuller@morganlewis.com mhevener@morganlewis.com gneedles@morganlewis.com

About Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

With 24 offices across the United States, Europe, and Asia, Morgan Lewis provides comprehensive litigation, corporate, transactional, regulatory, intellectual property, and labor and employment legal services to clients of all sizes—from globally established industry leaders to just-conceived start-ups. Our international team of lawyers, patent agents, benefits advisers, regulatory scientists, and other specialists—more than 1,600 legal professionals total—serves clients from locations in Almaty, Beijing, Boston, Brussels, Chicago, Dallas, Frankfurt, Harrisburg, Houston, Irvine, London, Los Angeles, Miami, Moscow, New York, Palo Alto, Paris, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Princeton, San Francisco, Tokyo, Washington, D.C., and Wilmington. For more information about Morgan Lewis or its practices, please visit us online at www.morganlewis.com.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein. For information about why we are required to include this legend, please see http://www.morganlewis.com/circular230.

Morgan Lewis

This LawFlash is provided as a general informational service to clients and friends of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. It should not be construed as, and does not constitute, legal advice on any specific matter, nor does this message create an attorney-client relationship. These materials may be considered **Attorney Advertising** in some states. Please note that the prior results discussed in the material do not guarantee similar outcomes. Links provided from outside sources are subject to expiration or change. © 2012 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. All Rights Reserved.