Morgan Lewis



securities lawflash

June 10, 2013

SEC Issues Guidance on Resource Extraction Issuer Rules

FAQs clarify which entities and payments are subject to the final rules.

On May 30, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) released frequently asked questions (FAQs) providing guidance on certain aspects of its final rules for resource extraction issuers (the Resource Extraction Rules). The Resource Extraction Rules, which were adopted on August 22, 2012 pursuant to section 1504 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act), require companies that are engaged in the commercial development of oil, natural gas, or minerals and required to file annual reports with the SEC to disclose certain payments made to the U.S. federal government or foreign governments (and related entities) for the purpose of commercial development of oil, natural gas, or minerals. The FAQs provide guidance, among other things, as to which issuers are subject to the reporting requirements, what the meaning of "minerals" is, which payments must be reported and how they should be reported, and the consequences of a failure to timely file a Form SD.

Questions Answered by the FAQs

Which entities are resource extraction issuers?

- Holding companies may be resource extraction issuers. Question 1 clarifies that a holding company is a resource extraction issuer if a subsidiary or other controlled entity is engaged in the commercial development of oil, natural gas, or minerals.
- Entities engaged in associated services only are not resource extraction issuers. Questions 2 and 4 clarify
 that an issuer providing services associated with the exploration, extraction, processing, and export of a
 resource is not a resource extraction issuer. Only issuers directly engaged in the commercial development of
 oil, natural gas, or minerals must disclose payments to governments. Issuers providing associated services
 not covered by the Resource Extraction Rules include the following:
 - Issuers providing hardware and logistics for exploration or extraction
 - Issuers providing hydraulic fracturing or drilling services for an operator
 - Issuers providing transport services, including between countries, so long as the issuer does not have an ownership interest in the transported resources

Question 4 further clarifies that transportation activities are generally not included within the definition of "commercial development" unless they are directly related to the export of a resource. Generally, however, the SEC staff would view the movement of a resource across an international border from one host country to another country by a company with an ownership interest in the resource as export.

^{1.} View the FAQs at http://www.sec.gov/divisions/corpfin/guidance/resourceextraction-fag.htm.

^{2.} For more information on the Resource Extraction Rules and the implications for affected companies, see our September 19, 2012 LawFlash, "SEC Adopts Payment Disclosure Rules for Resource Extraction Issuers," available at http://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/BF_LF_SECAdoptsPaymentDisclosureRulesForREI_19sep12.

Morgan Lewis

• The term "minerals" has been defined. Question 3 provides clarity as to the definition of "minerals" under the Resource Extraction Rules by stating that "minerals" are any materials commonly understood to be minerals. Materials extracted and gathered by means of mining activity—including any materials for which disclosure would be required under Industry Guide 7, "Description of Property by Issuers Engaged or to Be Engaged in Significant Mining Operations" —are encompassed in the definition and include materials such as metalliferous minerals, coal, oil shale, tar, sands, and limestone.

Which payments are subject to the Resource Extraction Rules?

For payments to be subject to the Resource Extraction Rules, they must be made to further the commercial development of oil, natural gas, or minerals and take the forms of taxes, royalties, fees, production entitlements, bonuses, dividends, or payments for infrastructure improvements.

- Certain payments are excluded. Questions 5, 6, and 8 clarify that certain payments are not subject to disclosure pursuant to the Resource Extraction Rules. These include the following:
 - Payments made to majority-owned government entities for services or activities that are ancillary or preparatory to the commercial development of oil, natural gas, or minerals, such as payments for providing transportation services to supply people or materials to a job site.
 - Penalties or fines related to resource extraction.
 - Corporate-level income tax payments to governments on income not generated by the commercial development of oil, natural gas, or minerals. (However, a resource extraction issuer is not required to segregate this income and may disclose that the information includes payments made for purposes other than the commercial development of oil, natural gas, or minerals.)
- The format for payment disclosure has been clarified. Question 7 provides that a resource extraction issuer is to present payment information on an unaudited, cash basis for the year in which the payments are made.

What are the consequences of failing to timely file a Form SD?

Question 9 provides that, if a resource extraction issuer fails to timely file a Form SD, the issuer does not lose eligibility to use Form S-3.

Contacts

If you have any questions or would like more information on the issues discussed in this LawFlash, please contact the authors, **Amy I. Pandit** (412.560.7415; apandit@morganlewis.com) and **David A. Sirignano** (202.739.5420; dsirignano@morganlewis.com), or any of the following Morgan Lewis attorneys:

Washington, D.C.		
Linda L. Griggs	202.739.5245	lgriggs@morganlewis.com
Gail A. Pierce	202.739.5636	gpierce@morganlewis.com
David A. Sirignano	202.739.5420	dsirignano@morganlewis.com
George G. Yearsich	202.739.5255	gyearsich@morganlewis.com
New York		
Stephen P. Farrell	212.309.6050	sfarrell@morganlewis.com
David W. Pollak	212.309.6058	dpollak@morganlewis.com
Philadelphia		_
James W. McKenzie	215.963.5134	jmckenzie@morganlewis.com
Alan Singer	215.963.5224	asinger@morganlewis.com
Palo Alto		
Thomas W. Kellerman	650.843.7550	tkellerman@morganlewis.com

^{3.} View SEC Industry Guide 7 at http://www.sec.gov/about/forms/industryguides.pdf.

Morgan Lewis

Pitts	bur	gh
-------	-----	----

Amy I. Pandit 412.560.7415 <u>apandit@morganlewis.com</u>

Princeton

Emilio Ragosa 609.919.6633 eragosa@morganlewis.com

Irvine

Ellen S. Bancroft 949.399.7130 <u>ebancroft@morganlewis.com</u>
Bryan S. Gadol 949.399.7140 <u>bgadol@morganlewis.com</u>

Los Angeles

John F. Hartigan 213.612.2630 <u>jhartigan@morganlewis.com</u>

Moscow/London

 Carter Brod
 +7 495 212 2550
 cbrod@morganlewis.com

 lain Wright
 +44 (0)20 3201 5630
 iwright@morganlewis.com

About Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

With 24 offices across the United States, Europe, and Asia, Morgan Lewis provides comprehensive litigation, corporate, transactional, regulatory, intellectual property, and labor and employment legal services to clients of all sizes—from globally established industry leaders to just-conceived start-ups. Our international team of lawyers, patent agents, benefits advisers, regulatory scientists, and other specialists—more than 1,600 legal professionals total—serves clients from locations in Almaty, Beijing, Boston, Brussels, Chicago, Dallas, Frankfurt, Harrisburg, Houston, Irvine, London, Los Angeles, Miami, Moscow, New York, Palo Alto, Paris, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Princeton, San Francisco, Tokyo, Washington, D.C., and Wilmington. For more information about Morgan Lewis or its practices, please visit us online at www.morganlewis.com.

This LawFlash is provided as a general informational service to clients and friends of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. It should not be construed as, and does not constitute, legal advice on any specific matter, nor does this message create an attorney-client relationship. These materials may be considered **Attorney Advertising** in some states. Please note that the prior results discussed in the material do not guarantee similar outcomes. Links provided from outside sources are subject to expiration or change. © 2013 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. All Rights Reserved.