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Legislative framework

1. Summarise the legislative framework for the protection of personally identifiable information (PII). Does your jurisdiction have a dedicated data protection law? Is the data protection law in your jurisdiction based on any international instruments on privacy or data protection?

Federal Law No. 152-FZ on Personal Data dated 27 July 2006 (the PD Law) is the main law governing personally identifiable information (personal data) in Russia. The PD Law was adopted in 2005 following the ratification of the Convention of the Council of Europe for the Protection of Individuals with regard to Automatic Processing of Personal Data. In general, the PD Law takes an approach similar to the EU Data Protection Directive and is based on the international instruments on privacy and data protection in certain aspects, but the Russian regulation places special emphasis on the technical (IT) measures for data protection.

Notably, the PD Law has concepts similar to the one contained in the General Data Protection Regulation, which became effective in the EU on 25 May 2018. Data protection provisions can also be found in other laws, including Federal Law No. 149-FZ on Information, Information Technologies and Information Protection (2006) and Chapter 14 of the Labour Code of the Russian Federation (2001).

Further, numerous legal and technical requirements are set out in regulations issued by the Russian government and Russian governmental authorities in the data protection sphere, namely, the Federal Service for Communications, Information Technology and Mass Communications Supervision (known as Roskomnadzor), the Federal Service for Technical and Export Control (FSTEK) and the Federal Security Service (FSS). The regulations in this area are constantly being amended and developed.

Data protection authority

2. Which authority is responsible for overseeing the data protection law? Describe the investigative powers of the authority.

The federal authority in charge of the protection of individuals’ data rights (known under Russian law as ‘personal data subjects’) is Roskomnadzor. Roskomnadzor undertakes inspections of data processing activities conducted by companies that collect personal data (known under Russian law as ‘data operators’) and has the power to impose mandatory orders to address violations of data protection rules.

Roskomnadzor’s inspections can be either scheduled or extraordinary (e.g., upon receipt of a complaint from an individual). During the inspections (both documentary inspections and field checks), Roskomnadzor may review and request a data operator’s documents describing data-processing activities and inspect information systems used for data processing. The rules regulating procedure for Roskomnadzor’s inspections have been sufficiently updated in 2019.

Administrative cases relating to violations of data privacy are initiated by Roskomnadzor and further considered by the court, which then makes an administrative ruling, for example, imposing administrative penalties.

Roskomnadzor is an influential body that interprets the provisions of the PD Law and addresses the problem areas in data protection practice. It publishes its views on various procedures for data protection (including on violations revealed during inspections) at its ‘Personal Data Portal’ at www.pd.rkn.gov.ru. Roskomnadzor also maintains two main state registers in the data privacy sphere – a register of data operators and a register of ‘data operators in breach’. Another important authority is FSTEK. FSTEK is responsible for the development of technical regulations on data processing, including requirements for IT systems used in processing and measures required for the legitimate transfer of data. FSTEK is in some cases involved in the inspections carried out by Roskomnadzor. The authority issues working papers, opinions and interpretations of the PD Law related to the technical protection of personal data on its website at www.fstec.ru.

Cooperation with other data protection authorities

3. Are there legal obligations on the data protection authority to cooperate with other data protection authorities, or is there a mechanism to resolve different approaches?

Under article 23 of the PD Law, Roskomnadzor is entitled to cooperate with foreign data protection authorities, including on the international exchange of information on the protection of data subjects’ rights. As part of this cooperation, Roskomnadzor organises conferences and public meetings and invites representatives of data protection authorities and professionals from other jurisdictions to participate.

Breaches of data protection

4. Can breaches of data protection law lead to administrative sanctions or orders, or criminal penalties? How would such breaches be handled?

Under article 24 of the Russian Constitution, it is forbidden to collect, store, use and disseminate information on the private life of any person without his or her consent. This constitutional right is also protected under the PD Law. Under article 24 of the PD Law, persons violating the PD Law are subject to administrative or criminal liability.

Under article 13.11 of the Code for Administrative Offences of the Russian Federation (the Administrative Code), a data operator (and, as the case may be, its officers and other relevant employees) may be liable for several breaches of personal data processing, including for:

- data processing without the individual’s written consent when obtaining such consent is required;
Exempt sectors and institutions

5 Does the data protection law cover all sectors and types of organisation or are some areas of activity outside its scope?

Article 1 of the PD Law expressly excludes from the scope of the PD Law any data processing in connection with record-keeping and the use of personal data contained in the Archive Fund of the Russian Federation, state secrets, as well as any processing related to the activities of the Russian courts.

Further, the PD Law does not regulate data processing that is performed by individuals exclusively for personal and family needs, unless such actions violate the rights of other individuals.

In all other cases, the regulations of the PD Law are equally applicable to all organisations that collect personal data in Russia, irrespective of their sector or area of business. In certain industries, it is common practice to develop standards for the processing and protection of personal data. Such ‘industry standards’ already exist for non-governmental pension funds, telecom operators, banks and healthcare organisations.

Communications, marketing and surveillance laws

6 Does the data protection law cover interception of communications, electronic marketing or monitoring and surveillance of individuals? If not, list other relevant laws in this regard.

Article 23 of the Russian Constitution guarantees the right to privacy of personal life, personal and family secrets and correspondence for every individual. Therefore, as a general rule, the interception of communications or the monitoring and surveillance of an individual is allowed only with his or her explicit consent, unless such actions are performed in the course of investigative activities by state authorities under the Federal Law No. 144-FZ on Investigating Activities (1995). Certain limited activities related to the collection of personal data may be performed by private detectives with a state licence, as required by the Law of the Russian Federation No. 2487-1 on Private Detective and Safeguarding Activity (1992).

The PD Law sets out general principles for the use of personal data in the promotion of goods, work and services directly to potential consumers (via telephone, email or fax), including an obligatory opt-in confirmation. Electronic marketing procedures are also regulated by Federal Law No. 38-FZ on Advertising (2006) and the Law of the Russian Federation No. 2300-1 on Consumers’ Rights Protection (1992).

Other laws

7 Identify any further laws or regulations that provide specific data protection rules for related areas.

Specific provisions for the protection of certain types of personal data are covered by a variety of laws, which are nonetheless based on the general principles set out in the PD Law. For example, the protection of patients’ data (including e-health records) is regulated by Federal Law No. 323 on the Fundamentals of Protection of the Health of Citizens in the Russian Federation (2011). Personal data (including credit information) processing by banks and bank secrets are regulated by Federal Law No. 395-1 on Banks and Banking (1990), Federal Law No. 218 on Credit Histories (2004). The principles of data handling by notaries and advocates are set out in the Fundamentals of Legislation of the Russian Federation on the Notariat (1993) and Federal Law No. 63-FZ on Advocacy and Advocate Activity in the Russian Federation (2002), respectively. In addition, the Labour Code of the Russian Federation, the Family Code of the Russian Federation, the Tax Code of the Russian Federation, Federal Law No. 98-FZ on Commercial Secrets and other laws regulate the processing of different types of personal data (including rules on employee monitoring).

PII formats

8 What forms of PII are covered by the law?

The PD Law does not distinguish between personal data in paper or electronic format and is equally applicable to both. There are, however, separate rules applicable to processing data in paper and electronic format.

Extraterritoriality

9 Is the reach of the law limited to PII owners and processors of PII established or operating in the jurisdiction?

The PD Law does not specify its jurisdictional scope and generally applies to any legal entity, including any foreign entity with a legal presence in Russia, that collects personal data in Russia.

In addition, the PD Law provides for the local storage requirement, which applies to any data operator that processes the personal data of Russian citizens, regardless of its jurisdiction. Pursuant to the local storage requirement, an operator (for example, a company engaged in online business activity) is required to ensure that the recording, systematisation, accumulation, storage, clarification (updating, modification) and retrieval of Russian citizens’ personal data is conducted only through the databases that are physically located in Russia. There are certain exceptions to this requirement. For example, data processing for the purposes of achieving the objectives of international treaties, for the purposes of implementation of an operator’s statutory powers and duties, for professional activities of journalists or the lawful activities of mass media, or scientific, literary or other creative activities may be performed directly in the foreign databases.
Covered uses of PII

10 | Is all processing or use of PII covered? Is a distinction made between those who control or own PII and those who provide PII processing services to owners? Do owners’, controllers’ and processors’ duties differ?

The PD Law does not use the terms ‘data owners’, ‘data controllers’ and ‘data processors’. Instead, the PD Law distinguishes between ‘data operators’ and ‘third parties acting on an instruction of a data operator’. A company engaged in data processing is a data operator, if it organises or carries out (alone or with other operators) the processing of personal data and, more importantly, determines the purpose, content and method of personal data processing.

Under article 6 of the PD Law, a data operator may assign or delegate data processing to a third party. Such a third party will be acting on an ‘instruction of the operator’ (see question 32). A third party does not need to obtain the separate consent of an individual to process his or her data within the same scope as permitted by the operator’s instruction. It is the data operator who must ensure that all necessary consents are obtained. Arguably, all other requirements on data processing under the PD Law are equally applicable to both data operators and third parties acting on their instructions.

LEGITIMATE PROCESSING OF PII

Legitimate processing – grounds

11 | Does the law require that the holding of PII be legitimised on specific grounds, for example to meet the owner’s legal obligations or if the individual has provided consent?

The PD Law provides that any operation performed on personal data, whether or not by automatic means, such as collection, recording, organisation, storage, alteration, retrieval, consultation, use, transfer (dissemination or providing access), blocking, erasure or destruction, amounts to ‘processing’ of personal data and is subject to regulation. Thus, almost any activity relating to personal data constitutes ‘processing’ under the PD Law.

Any processing of personal data must be lawful, fair and transparent in relation to the individuals concerned. In particular, the specific purpose for which the data is processed must be explicit, legitimate and determined at the point of data collection (article 5 of the PD Law). The data should be adequate, relevant and limited to a minimum necessary for the purpose of data collection and processing. This requires the data operator to assess regularly whether the processed data is excessive and the period necessary for processing such data.

As a general rule, the processing of personal data requires the consent of the individual. However, article 6 of the PD Law provides 10 general exemptions from the consent requirement, including instances where data is processed:

- for the purpose of mandatory disclosure or publication of personal data in cases directly prescribed by law;
- in the context of professional journalistic, scientific, literary or other creative activities, provided there is no breach of an individual’s rights and freedoms; or
- if such data has been made publicly available by the individual or under his or her instruction.

Other exemptions from the consent requirement set out in articles 10, 11 and 12 of the PD Law may also apply depending on the type of data being processed.

Legitimate processing – types of PII

12 | Does the law impose more stringent rules for specific types of PII?

Under the PD Law, all personal data is divided into the following categories:

1. general data, which includes an individual’s full name, passport details, profession and education, and in essence amounts to any personal data other than sensitive or biometric data;
2. sensitive data, which includes data relating to an individual’s health, religious and philosophical beliefs, political opinions, intimate life, race, nationality and criminal records; and
3. biometric personal data, which includes data such as fingerprints, iris images and, arguably, certain types of photographic images.

The processing of data in categories (2) and (3) above must be justified by reference to a specific purpose and, in most cases, requires explicit written consent by an individual. Further, the processing of data relating to criminal records may only be carried out in instances specifically permitted by the PD Law and other laws.

DATA HANDLING RESPONSIBILITIES OF OWNERS OF PII

Notification

13 | Does the law require owners of PII to notify individuals whose PII they hold? What must the notice contain and when must it be provided?

A data operator must notify an individual prior to processing his or her data, if such data was received from a third party. In particular, the data operator must give the individual notice of the following:

- the data operator’s name and address;
- the purpose of processing and the operator’s legal authority;
- the prospective users of the personal data;
- the scope of the individual’s rights, as provided by the PD Law; and
- the source of data.

Exemption from notification

14 | When is notice not required?

Notification of the data subject is not required if the data operator received the personal data directly from the concerned individual.

Further, the requirement on the data operator to give notice before processing data received from a third party does not apply if:

- the individual has already been notified of the processing by the relevant operator;
- the personal data was received by the operator in connection with a federal law or a contract to which the individual is either a beneficiary or guarantor;
- the personal data was made publicly available by the individual or was received from a publicly available source;
• the personal data is processed by the operator for statistical or other research purposes, or for the purpose of pursuing professional journalistic, scientific, literary or other creative activities, provided there is no breach of the individual’s rights and freedoms; and
• providing such notification would violate the rights or legitimate interests of other individuals.

Control of use

15 Must owners of PII offer individuals any degree of choice or control over the use of their information? In which circumstances?

As a general rule, the individual will confirm the purposes and methods for the use of his or her personal data in the consent on processing granted to the data operator.

The individual has the right to control the use of his or her information upon obtaining access to the data by a request to the data operator (see question 37). In cases where the data processed by the operator is illegitimately processed, or is inaccurate or irrelevant for the purpose of processing, the individual may request that the data operator rectify, block or entirely delete his or her personal data or, alternatively, raise an objection against the purpose or method of processing with Roskomnadzor or in court.

Notably, health-related data is not always considered sensitive data under the PD Law. The medical data (such as doctor prescriptions, or medical examination reports, laboratory tests results, diagnosis) is sensitive data. However, if it is administrative or financial information about health such as medical certificates for sick leave management or other HR-related purposes, such information is not sensitive data, according to the Roskomnadzor’s interpretation.

Data accuracy

16 Does the law impose standards in relation to the quality, currency and accuracy of PII?

One of the basic principles of data processing is that the personal data kept by the data operator must be relevant, accurate and up to date. Therefore, the data operator must regularly review the data and update, correct, block or delete it as appropriate (articles 21 and 22 of the PD Law).

Amount and duration of data holding

17 Does the law restrict the amount of PII that may be held or the length of time it may be held?

As a general rule, the personal data must be stored by the data operator for the period required to accomplish the purpose of processing. Such a period must be limited to a strict minimum. The period during which the personal data can be retained will usually depend on the retention rules for the documents containing the personal data.

For example, there are rules that cover the length of time certain personnel-related and other relevant records should be kept. Federal Law No. 125-FZ on Archiving in the Russian Federation (2004) and Order No. 558 of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation on Approval of a List of Model Management Archival Documents Created in the Course of Activities of the Government Authorities, Local Self-Government Authorities and Organisations with Retention Period Specified (2010) set out minimum and maximum periods during which a company’s documents, including documents containing personal data, should be retained. Depending on the nature of the document, such periods may vary from one year up to 75 years.

Finality principle

18 Are the purposes for which PII can be used by owners restricted? Has the ‘finality principle’ been adopted?

Under article 5 of the PD Law, any data processing must be carried out for specific, explicit and legitimate purposes, and the data collected or processed must be adequate, relevant and proportionate to the purposes of collection or further processing. The data operator must take all reasonable steps to ensure that inaccurate personal data is rectified or deleted. Article 5 of the PD Law obliges the data operator to destroy or depersonalise the concerned personal data, when the purposes of processing are met.

Use for new purposes

19 If the finality principle has been adopted, how far does the law allow for PII to be used for new purposes? Are there exceptions or exclusions from the finality principle?

The PD Law does not provide for any exceptions from the finality principle.

SECURITY

Security obligations

20 What security obligations are imposed on PII owners and service providers that process PII on their behalf?

A number of complex security requirements apply to data operators and third-party service providers that process personal data under the operators’ instructions. The PD Law only refers to general principles of data security and does not contain any specific requirements. The Regulation of the Russian Government No. 1119 dated 1 November 2012 describes the organisational and technical measures and requirements that must be taken to prevent any unauthorised access to the personal data. Following the adoption of the above regulation, FSTEK has issued a number of further regulations relating to technical measures aimed at the protection of processed data.

The data operator must take appropriate technical measures against the unauthorised and unlawful processing of data, as well as against accidental loss, blocking or destruction of processed data. For example, in most cases, any personal data information system (even a simple database) must be certified by FSTEK. In certain cases, such as the processing of large volumes of data or biometric data, the data operator can only use hardware and software for the processing that has been approved by FSTEK or FSS.

Notification of data breach

21 Does the law include (general or sector-specific) obligations to notify the supervisory authority or individuals of data breaches? If breach notification is not required by law, is it recommended by the supervisory authority?

The PD Law does not expressly require the data operator to notify the authorities of data security breaches. If the request for rectification was made by the affected individual or Roskomnadzor, then the operator has an obligation to notify the affected individual or Roskomnadzor within three days of rectification.
INTERNAL CONTROLS

Data protection officer

22 | Is the appointment of a data protection officer mandatory? What are the data protection officer’s legal responsibilities?

Under article 22.1 of the PD Law, the data operator must appoint a data protection officer. There is no specification whether the officer must be appointed by the data operator under the PD Law. However, Roskomnadzor generally expects the data protection officer to be employed by the data operator. The officer must report directly to the general manager (director) and is responsible for the application of the provisions of the PD Law within the company and other data-related laws, as well as for maintaining a register of data processing operations. In particular, the officer must:
- implement appropriate internal controls over the data operator and its employees;
- make the data operator’s employees aware of personal data-related regulations, any internal rules on data protection and other data protection requirements; and
- deal with applications and requests from individuals.

Record keeping

23 | Are owners or processors of PII required to maintain any internal records or establish internal processes or documentation?

The PD Law requires data operators as well as third-party service providers that process personal data under the operators’ instructions to establish a system of internal (local) documents with a detailed description of protective measures taken by such person (organizational measures of protection). One of the protective measures involves establishing an internal system of control over access to the personal data processed, which includes keeping records of access to the data. As a general rule, such access to data is granted only for a temporary period and for business needs.

New processing regulations

24 | Are there any obligations in relation to new processing operations?

The PD Law does not provide for obligations in relation to new processing operations, such as privacy-by-design approach or privacy impact assessments. Article 18.1 of the PD Law generally obliges operators to regularly conduct internal audits of personal data-processing activities for their compliance with the PD Law.

REGISTRATION AND NOTIFICATION

Registration

25 | Are PII owners or processors of PII required to register with the supervisory authority? Are there any exemptions?

As a general rule under article 22 of the PD Law, data operators are required to be registered with Roskomnadzor. The PD Law does not specifically regulate whether data processors must be registered with Roskomnadzor. Nevertheless, Roskomnadzor believes that both data operators and data processors must be registered, unless an exemption from the general rule applies.

The registration procedure includes a one-off notification from the data operator to Roskomnadzor. If the data-processing characteristics (purposes, terms, third parties having access to the data or other) change, the data operator should notify Roskomnadzor on these changes. Roskomnadzor maintains a public register of data operators. In the absence of any queries, Roskomnadzor acknowledges receipt of the information from the data operator and adds the information on the data operator to the register within 30 days.

There are exceptions from the general rule on the obligatory registration for simple, one-off collections of data and HR-related data. For example, exemptions apply if the data:
- is processed under employment law only;
- is received by the data operator in connection with a contract with the individual, provided that such personal data is not transferred to or circulated among third parties without the individual’s consent, and only used either to perform the contract or to enter into further contracts with the individual;
- relates to a certain type of processing by a public association or religious organization;
- was made publicly available by the individual;
- consists only of the surname, first name and patronymic of the individual; or
- is necessary for granting one-time access to the individual into the premises where the data operator is located and in certain other cases.

Formalities

26 | What are the formalities for registration?

The notification form to be filled by the data operator can be found on Roskomnadzor’s website at www.pd.rkn.gov.ru, together with guidance on its completion. The information to be provided to Roskomnadzor includes, inter alia, the following:
- the name and address of the data operator;
- the type of data being processed;
- a description of the categories of the data subjects whose data is being processed;
- the purpose of processing;
- the time frame of processing;
- the information on the location of the database with the personal data of Russian citizens; and
- a description of IT systems and security systems used by the data operator.

All of the above information, except for the description of the IT systems and security measures used for the protection of processed data, is made publicly available.

The notification may be submitted electronically on Roskomnadzor’s website. However, the data operator must also send a paper version of the notification signed by its general manager (director) to the territorial division of Roskomnadzor. The registration does not require renewal, unless the information contained in the notification changes (including, eg, the scope of IT systems used by the data operator to process the personal data). In this case the operator must notify Roskomnadzor of such changes within 10 working days of the change. Notification or any further amendment of the entry in Roskomnadzor’s register does not require any fee payment by the data operator.

Penalties

27 | What are the penalties for a PII owner or processor of PII for failure to make or maintain an entry on the register?

Failure by the data operator to notify Roskomnadzor of data processing is subject to an administrative fine of up to 5,000 roubles under article 19.7 of the Administrative Code. The same administrative penalties are imposed for late submission of the notification or amendments thereto.
Refusal of registration

28 | On what grounds may the supervisory authority refuse to allow an entry on the register?

Provided that the notification is complete and contains the correct data, Roskomnadzor has no authority to refuse the data operator an entry in the register. Article 22 of the PD Law allows Roskomnadzor to obtain rectification of the information contained in the notification from the data operator before the information is recorded.

Public access

29 | Is the register publicly available? How can it be accessed?

The register of data operators is available to a certain extent on Roskomnadzor’s website; however, it has limited search capacities. The register contains information on the particulars of data processing by the data operator, except for the description of IT systems and security measures. The information in the register is in Russian only.

Effect of registration

30 | Does an entry on the register have any specific legal effect?

The data operator may start processing the data, in accordance with the purposes and methods described in the notification, upon submitting notification to Roskomnadzor.

Other transparency duties

31 | Are there any other public transparency duties?

Under article 18.1 of the PD Law, an operator is required to publish on its website or otherwise provide unlimited access to its policy describing data processing activities and data protection measures.

TRANSFER AND DISCLOSURE OF PII

Transfer of PII

32 | How does the law regulate the transfer of PII to entities that provide outsourced processing services?

Under article 6 of the PD Law, the data operator may assign or delegate the processing to a third party, which will act under the instruction of the operator.

There is no statutory form for such instruction by the operator, or for the standard form or precedent of the data transfer agreement approved by Roskomnadzor. The PD Law requires that the instruction of the operator must list the aims of processing, the actions the third party is permitted to perform on the data and the rules of data processing with which the third party must comply (including certain purely technical requirements on data processing).

A third party processing personal data under the operator’s instruction must undertake to the operator to maintain the security and confidentiality of the data transferred. As a general rule, assignment of data processing to a third party providing outsourced processing services requires the individual’s consent absent an exemption under the PD Law (see question 11).

Restrictions on disclosure

33 | Describe any specific restrictions on the disclosure of PII to other recipients.

Any transfer (including disclosure) of personal data requires the consent of the individual (unless explicitly allowed by the PD Law or other laws). If such consent is obtained by the data operator, there are no restrictions on the disclosure to which consent was given.

Cross-border transfer

34 | Is the transfer of PII outside the jurisdiction restricted?

Under article 12 of the PD Law, in the event of a cross-border transfer of data, the data operator must check that the data subjects’ rights are adequately protected in the foreign country before the transfer. All countries that are party to the European Convention on Personal Data dating from 28 January 1981 are considered to be countries ‘having adequate protection of data subjects’ interests’ (ie, ‘safe’ countries). Further, Roskomnadzor has approved a list of countries that are not party to the above European Convention but are, nonetheless, considered to be ‘safe’ countries for the purpose of cross-border transfers (including Qatar, Costa Rica, Japan, Singapore, Mali, Gabon, Kazakhstan, Republic of South Africa, Canada, Israel, New Zealand, Mongolia, Peru and some others).

Cross-border transfers of personal data to ‘safe’ countries are not subject to any specific requirements, provided that the data operator has received consent from the data subject on the transfer of his or her data and issued ‘an instruction of a data operator’, if needed (see question 32). Data transfers to ‘non-safe’ countries (eg, the United States) are allowed only if one of the following requirements is met:

• the subject consented in writing to the cross-border transfer of his or her data;
• the transfer is made under an international treaty of the Russian Federation;
• the transfer is required by applicable laws for the purpose of protecting the constitutional system of the Russian Federation, its national defence or the secure maintenance of its transporta-
• the transfer is necessary to perform the contract to which the individual is a party or under which he or she is a beneficiary or guarantor; or
• the transfer is needed to protect the individual’s life, health or other vital interests and it is impossible to obtain his or her prior consent.

Notification of cross-border transfer

35 | Does cross-border transfer of PII require notification to or authorisation from a supervisory authority?

There is no obligation to notify Roskomnadzor or any other supervisory authority of any data transfer.

Further transfer

36 | If transfers outside the jurisdiction are subject to restriction or authorisation, do these apply equally to transfers to service providers and onwards transfers?

The restrictions on data transfers (including cross-border transfers to ‘safe’ or ‘non-safe’ countries) are equally applicable to any transfer of data.

RIGHTS OF INDIVIDUALS

Access

37 | Do individuals have the right to access their personal information held by PII owners? Describe how this right can be exercised as well as any limitations to this right.

Under article 14 of the PD Law, the individual is entitled to request the details of the processing of his or her data from the data operator and
access his or her personal data. The data operator may not charge a fee for providing the information or access to the data.

The individual has the right to obtain confirmation on whether his or her personal data is being processed at any time on request to the data operator. The request may also be submitted by a representative of the data subject. There is no statutory form for the request; however, the PD Law requires that it must contain information on the requester’s identity (ie, passport details of the data subject or his or her representative) and the information necessary to find the appropriate records (ie, a detailed explanation of the relationship between the data subject and the data operator, including references to the relevant agreement or other arrangements).

If the personal data is being processed by the data operator, the operator has 30 days to respond to the request of the data subject or his or her representative and to provide all of the following information:

• confirmation of the processing of data;
• the legal grounds for and purposes of the processing;
• the purposes and methods of processing;
• the name and address of the data operator and any recipients (other than the data operator’s employees) who have access to the personal data or to whom the personal data is to be disclosed under an agreement with the data operator or otherwise as required by law;
• the scope of the personal data processed and the source of the personal data (unless another procedure for receiving personal data is established by a federal law);
• the terms of processing, including the period for which the personal data will be stored;
• the scope of rights of the individual as provided by the PD Law;
• information on any (implemented or planned) cross-border transfers of the personal data;
• if applicable, the name and address of any third-party processor of the personal data acting under ‘instruction of the operator’; and
• any other information as required by applicable law.

Article 14 of the PD Law sets out a narrow set of circumstances in which the access rights of the individual may be limited. For example, access may not be provided if the processing relates to investigative or anti-money laundering activity carried out by state authorities, or if granting access to the information would curtail the rights of other data subjects.

**Other rights**

38 | Do individuals have other substantive rights?

In addition to the right to require access to his or her personal data and request the details of data processing, the data subject may also request the correction of inaccurate data processed by the operator and require the operator to inform any third party with access to the inaccurate data of the corrections made. Further, data subjects are entitled to demand that the data operator discontinue the processing of the personal data (except where the processing cannot be terminated or would result in violations of Russian law, eg, labour law requirements). The data subjects can request the deletion of particular data, if such data is inaccurate, unlawfully obtained or unnecessary for the purpose of processing by the data operator.

**Compensation**

39 | Are individuals entitled to monetary damages or compensation if they are affected by breaches of the law? Is actual damage required or is injury to feelings sufficient?

Under article 24 of the PD Law, compensation for any moral damage to an individual resulting from an infringement of his or her rights related to personal data processing and protection must be provided irrespective of any compensation for property damage or other losses. There is no legal interpretation as to what kind of violation of PD Law would lead to an imposition of monetary damages. As a general rule, articles 151 and 1101 of the Civil Code of the Russian Federation require the court to consider the ‘degree of guilt’ (ie, whether the infringement was gross or merely negligent, and whether there was an element of any intention or malice) and the ‘degree of suffering’ of the individual. However, compensation for moral damage caused by a violation of the personal data protection rules is rarely applied in practice.

**Enforcement**

40 | Are these rights exercisable through the judicial system or enforced by the supervisory authority or both?

Article 17 of the PD Law provides that if the data subject discovers a violation of his or her rights by the operator, the data subject is entitled to protect these rights through the authorised body for the protection of data subjects’ rights (ie, Roskomnadzor), or in court. Roskomnadzor is entitled to impose administrative penalties on data operators for non-compliance with personal data protection laws, which the data operators may appeal in court.

**EXEMPTIONS, DEROGATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS**

**Further exemptions and restrictions**

41 | Does the law include any derogations, exclusions or limitations other than those already described? Describe the relevant provisions.

There appear to be no further exemptions apart from those described above.

**SUPERVISION**

**Judicial review**

42 | Can PII owners appeal against orders of the supervisory authority to the courts?

The orders of Roskomnadzor may be appealed in court. There have been a growing number of appeals by data operators against decisions imposing administrative liability for non-compliance with personal data protection laws.

**SPECIFIC DATA PROCESSING**

**Internet use**

43 | Describe any rules on the use of ‘cookies’ or equivalent technology.

The use of ‘cookies’ and equivalent technology on tracking behavioural data is not clearly regulated by Russian law. According to Roskomnadzor, the use of cookies and equivalent technologies may in certain cases be considered as personal data processing subject to the user’s explicit consent.
Electronic communications marketing

Describe any rules on marketing by email, fax or telephone.

Unsolicited electronic communications (including via email, fax or telephone) are prohibited. Any data processing for the purpose of direct marketing is allowed only with the prior consent of the data subject. This consent can be revoked by the data subject at any time, meaning that the data operator is unable to further process personal data. The rules on electronic communications marketing are set out in article 15 of the PD Law and in article 18 of Federal Law No. 38-FZ on Advertising (2006).

Cloud services

Describe any rules or regulator guidance on the use of cloud computing services.

Russian law does not specifically regulate the use of cloud computing services. There is also no official guidance on this subject by Roskomnadzor. The use of cloud computing services for storage of personal data will be generally subject to all requirements of the PD Law.

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Key developments of the past year

Are there any emerging trends or hot topics in international data protection in your jurisdiction?

During the past couple of years, the companies working with Russian citizens' data were seeing Roskomnadzor guiding them on the changes required to ensure compliance with the PD Law and other laws related to privacy and confidentiality. Reportedly, this might change as the regulator is now ready to issue warnings and to impose fines for non-compliance with the Russian law requirements, including the localisation requirement. The general trend to 'localisation' of all processes related to Russian citizens affects all types of processing activities.
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