

PRATT'S

# **ENERGY LAW**

## REPORT



#### **EDITOR'S NOTE: OFFSHORE WIND**

Vieterie Duuseen Coese

## THE ECONOMIC AND REGULATORY OUTLOOK FOR U.S. OFFSHORE WIND

Robert A. James, Elizabeth V. Moeller, Andrew D. Weissman, Bryan M. Stockton, and Andrew McCov

## TCI PROPOSES TO REDUCE CARBON EMISSIONS FROM TRANSPORTATION IN THE NORTHEAST

Jean-Philippe Brisson, Joshua T. Bledsoe, David B. Amerikaner, and Beniamin W. Einhouse

### DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA AND PRE-CERCLA INDIRECT LIABILITY

Chase R Raines

## FERC ISSUES NOTICES OF INQUIRY ON ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION INCENTIVES AND CALCULATING RETURN ON EQUITY

Sean A. Atkins, Kenneth G. Jaffe, Michael N. Kunselman, Andrea Wolfman, and Michael F. Kellermann

### FERC REVISES INTERLOCKING OFFICER AND DIRECTOR REGULATIONS

Mark R. Haskell, George D. Billinson, and Lamiya N. Rahman

### FERC CLARIFIES ORDER NO. 845'S INTERCONNECTION REFORMS

Joseph W. Lowell and Pamela Tsang Wu

## Pratt's Energy Law Report

VOLUME 19	NUMBER 6	JUNE 2019
<b>Editor's Note: Offshor</b> Victoria Prussen Spears		175
	egulatory Outlook for U.S. Offshore Wind beth V. Moeller, Andrew D. Weissman, and Andrew McCoy	177
	uce Carbon Emissions from Transportation in the	
Northeast Jean-Philippe Brisson, Benjamin W. Einhouse	Joshua T. Bledsoe, David B. Amerikaner, and	189
<b>Duke Energy Florida</b> Chase R. Raines	and Pre-CERCLA Indirect Liability	194
	of Inquiry on Electric Transmission Incentives and	
Calculating Return or Sean A. Atkins, Kenner Michael E. Kellermann	th Ĝ. Jaffe, Michael N. Kunselman, Andrea Wolfman	, and 199
	cking Officer and Director Regulations rge D. Billinson, and Lamiya N. Rahman	205
FERC Clarifies Order Joseph W. Lowell and	No. 845's Interconnection Reforms Pamela Tsang Wu	209



#### QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the <b>Editorial Content</b> appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please email:				
Jacqueline M. Morris at	is@lexisnexis.com			
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer please call:	r service matters,			
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844			
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385			
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341			
Customer Service Website				
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call				
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940			
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(937) 247-0293			

ISBN: 978-1-6328-0836-3 (print) ISBN: 978-1-6328-0837-0 (ebook)

ISSN: 2374-3395 (print) ISSN: 2374-3409 (online)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S ENERGY LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Ian Coles, Rare Earth Elements: Deep Sea Mining and the Law of the Sea, 14 Pratt's Energy Law Report 4 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2019 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

# Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

#### **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

#### **EDITOR**

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

#### **BOARD OF EDITORS**

SAMUEL B. BOXERMAN

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

Andrew Calder

Partner, Kirkland & Ellis LLP

M. SETH GINTHER

Partner, Hirschler Fleischer, P.C.

STEPHEN J. HUMES

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

R. Todd Johnson

Partner, Jones Day

BARCLAY NICHOLSON

Partner, Norton Rose Fulbright

Bradley A. Walker

Counsel, Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC

ELAINE M. WALSH

Partner, Baker Botts L.L.P.

SEAN T. WHEELER

Partner, Latham & Watkins LLP

Hydraulic Fracturing Developments

ERIC ROTHENBERG

Partner, O'Melveny & Myers LLP

Pratt's Energy Law Report is published 10 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2019 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed-articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house energy counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in energy-related environmental preservation, the laws governing cutting-edge alternative energy technologies, and legal developments affecting traditional and new energy providers. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Pratt's Energy Law Report, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 121 Chanlon Road, North Building, New Providence, NJ 07974.

## FERC Clarifies Order No. 845's Interconnection Reforms

#### By Joseph W. Lowell and Pamela Tsang Wu\*

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission has issued an order clarifying Order No. 845 and reforming certain parts of the large generator interconnection rules. The revisions and clarifications in Order No. 845-A largely preserve the reforms of Order No. 845 and explain how certain reforms should be implemented. The authors of this article explain the order.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") has issued an order¹ on rehearing and clarification of Order No. 845,² which was issued in April 2018, and reformed certain parts of the large generator interconnection rules. The reforms of Order No. 845 were intended to improve the efficiency of processing interconnection requests, maintain reliability, balance the needs of interconnection customers and transmission owners, and remove barriers to resource development. In Order No. 845-A, FERC generally affirmed Order No. 845 and denied most of the rehearing requests, but did grant clarification and rehearing in limited respects. The revisions and clarifications in Order No. 845-A largely preserve the reforms and explain how certain reforms should be implemented. Order No. 845-A will become effective 75 days after publication in the *Federal Register*. Transmission providers were required to submit compliance filings by May 22, 2019.

#### INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER'S OPTION TO BUILD

Prior to Order No. 845, FERC's large generator interconnection rules generally required the transmission provider to construct all network upgrades and the transmission provider's interconnection facilities, except in a limited

<sup>\*</sup> Joseph W. Lowell is of counsel at Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP counseling electric utility and natural gas clients on all areas of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission regulation. Pamela Tsang Wu is an associate at the firm advising clients in the electric power, natural gas, and oil industries on compliance, rate, terms and conditions of service, and enforcement issues. The authors may be reached at joseph.lowell@morganlewis.com and pamela.wu@morganlewis.com, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reform of Generator Interconnection Procedures and Agreements, 166 FERC ¶ 61,137 (2019) (Order No. 845-A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Reform of Generator Interconnection Procedures and Agreements, 163 FERC ¶ 61,043 (2018) (Order No. 845).

instance.<sup>3</sup> Specifically, interconnection customers were allowed to build the transmission provider's interconnection facilities and standalone network upgrades<sup>4</sup> only in situations where the transmission provider could not meet the milestone dates proposed by the interconnection customer for the construction of the interconnection. Order No. 845 modified provisions of the pro forma Large Generator Interconnection Agreement ("LGIA") to allow interconnection customers to exercise the option to build with respect to the transmission provider's interconnection facilities and standalone network upgrades, regardless of whether the transmission provider can meet the interconnection customer's proposed dates.

In Order No. 845-A, FERC clarified several aspects of the expanded "option to build." FERC held that when there is a disagreement on whether a network upgrade is a standalone network upgrade, the transmission provider must explain why it does not consider a specific network upgrade to be a standalone network upgrade. FERC also held that transmission providers may recover oversight costs<sup>5</sup> related to the interconnection customer's option to build and added language to that effect in the pro forma LGIA. In addition, FERC clarified that (1) the option to build provisions apply to all public utility transmission providers, including those that reimburse the interconnection customer for network upgrades; and (2) the option to build does not apply to standalone network upgrades on affected systems.

## OPTION TO REQUEST INTERCONNECTION SERVICE BELOW GENERATING FACILITY CAPACITY

In Order No. 845, FERC modified the pro forma Large Generator Interconnection Procedures ("LGIP") to allow interconnection customers to request interconnection service in an amount that is less than a resource's full generating facility capacity. Prior to this reform, the right of the customer to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Under FERC's rules, "Transmission Provider's Interconnection Facilities" are "all facilities and equipment owned, controlled or operated by the Transmission Provider from the Point of Change of Ownership to the Point of Interconnection as identified in Appendix A to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement, including any modifications, additions, or upgrades to such facilities and equipment. Transmission Provider's Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Standalone network upgrades are "Network Upgrades that an Interconnection Customer may construct without affecting day-to-day operations of the Transmission System during their construction. Both the Transmission Provider and the Interconnection Customer must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify them in Appendix A to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement." Order No. 845-A at n.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Generally, "oversight costs" are the costs for providing the coordination, oversight, and approval required for the Interconnection customer's construction.

choose interconnection service at less than full capacity was not clear. In Order No. 845-A, FERC clarified that, if a customer chooses service below the facility's capacity and subsequently requests to increase its service up to the full capacity of the facility, the transmission provider must provide a detailed explanation in support of any determination to require additional studies prior to granting such a request. FERC also held that an interconnection customer may propose control technologies at any time during the interconnection process to request service below the generating facility's maximum capacity.

#### SURPLUS INTERCONNECTION SERVICE

In Order No. 845, FERC established "Surplus Interconnection Service," a form of interconnection service that allows a new interconnection customer to use excess or unused interconnection service capacity associated with an existing resource. This reform was adopted to reduce costs for interconnection customers and improve wholesale market competition by increasing the use of existing interconnection facilities and network upgrades rather than requiring new ones. On rehearing, FERC clarified that Surplus Interconnection Service is only available up to the level that can be accommodated without requiring new network upgrades to be constructed. FERC also confirmed that it did not intend to limit the regional transmission organizations' and independent system operators' ability to argue that an independent entity variation from the Surplus Interconnection Service requirements is appropriate.

## ADDITIONAL TRANSPARENCY REGARDING STUDY MODELS AND ASSUMPTIONS

Order No. 845 required transmission providers to maintain not only base case data, but also network models and underlying assumptions on its OASIS site or a password-protected website. In Order No. 845-A, FERC held that transmission providers may use the Commission's CEII regulations as a model for evaluating entities that request network model information and assumptions. FERC also clarified that transmission providers are not required to maintain network models that reflect current real-time operating conditions of the transmission provider's system and that the network model information should reflect the system conditions currently used in interconnection studies.

#### POSTING INTERCONNECTION STUDY METRICS

In Order No. 845, FERC modified the pro forma LGIP to require transmission providers to report interconnection study performance data on their OASIS sites on a quarterly basis to increase the transparency of interconnection study completion timeframes. On rehearing, FERC clarified that transmission providers are not required to post 2017 interconnection study metrics under the new reporting requirements.