

Making it the same everywhere: States strive for uniformity in money transmitter laws

By Charles M. Horn, Esq., Nicholas M. Gess, Esq., David I. Monteiro, Esq., and Eamonn K. Moran, Esq., Morgan Lewis & Bockius* FEBRUARY 3, 2020

State attorneys general have increased their focus on the use of their enforcement authority against payment processing applications platforms that were not licensed under state money transmitter laws. One of the challenges raised by these state laws is the fact that they are not uniform in either their language or how they are interpreted or applied.

In the spirit of looking at the glass-half-full aspects of these developments, it is worth pointing out that the Conference of State Bank Supervisors (CSBS) is undertaking an initiative to develop model payments legislation with the goal of increasing uniformity of state legislation in this area.

The multistate licensing initiative is part of Vision 2020, a set of initiatives that CSBS and state regulators are implementing to harmonize the multistate licensing and supervisory experience for nonbank financial services providers, including fintechs.

One primary area of focus for the CSBS is state money transmitter legislation.

To this end, a committee of state financial institution supervisors, under the auspices of the CSBS, has developed model language for money services businesses, and recently published this model language for public comment.¹

The model language focuses on areas such as core definitions of money transmission-related activities, money transmitter exemptions, control and changes in control of money transmission businesses, financial condition issues, and interstate parity and coordination activities.

As CSBS President and Chief Executive Officer John Ryan noted, "A 50-state law for money transmission offers nationwide consistency for companies, access to innovative financial products for citizens and more effective supervision by state regulators."²

We agree completely: Experience shows that the lack of uniformity in state money transmitter laws and regulations is a source of continuing frustration for fintech firms — in particular, those offering payments applications — that are trying to understand when and in what manner these laws may apply to them.

Further, this state regulatory inconsistency creates barriers to innovation and service deployment by creating regulatory risk for industry participants.

The latest development follows an announcement by CSBS in June 2019³ that 23 states have committed to a multistate agreement that standardizes key elements of the licensing process for money transmitters and other money services businesses (MSBs).

The original agreement announced in February 2018⁴ included only seven states, which shows that substantial progress is being made at the state level in moving toward regulatory uniformity.

The multistate licensing initiative is part of Vision 2020, a set of initiatives that CSBS and state regulators are implementing to harmonize the multistate licensing and supervisory experience for nonbank financial services providers, including fintechs.

The CSBS legislative harmonization effort is a worthy endeavor that we hope will lead to forward-looking and workable legislative recommendations that states will implement.

NOTES

- http://bit.ly/2QAHCOD
- http://bit.ly/39mqDbv
- http://bit.ly/2rJZezc
- 4 http://bit.ly/39sBosv

This article first appeared in the February 3, 2020, edition of Westlaw Journal Bank & Lender Liability.

*© 2020 Charles M. Horn, Esq., Nicholas M. Gess, Esq., David I. Monteiro, Esq., and Eamonn K. Moran, Esq., Morgan, Lewis & Bockius



ABOUT THE AUTHOR









Charles M. Horn is a partner based in Morgan Lewis & Bockius' Washington office. He counsels banks and other financial institutions on corporate, regulatory, supervisory, enforcement and compliance matters before all major federal and state financial regulatory agencies. Nicholas M. Gess, also based in Washington, is of counsel to the firm and a principal with Morgan Lewis Consulting LLC. He provides advice on state and federal government enforcement and regulatory actions and their impact on business. David I. Monteiro is a partner in the firm's Dallas office who counsels companies facing government investigations and enforcement litigation. He is a former enforcement attorney with the Federal Trade Commission's consumer protection bureau, division of financial practices. Eamonn K. Moran, based in Washington, is of counsel with the firm and regularly handles matters in the financial services industry. He previously served as counsel in the Office of Regulations at the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. This article was first published Nov. 8, 2019, on the firm's All Things FinReg blog. Republished with permission.

Thomson Reuters develops and delivers intelligent information and solutions for professionals, connecting and empowering global markets. We enable professionals to make the decisions that matter most, all powered by the world's most trusted news organization.

This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered, however it may not necessarily have been prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional. For subscription information, please visit legalsolutions.thomsonreuters.com.

2 | FEBRUARY 3, 2020 Thomson Reuters