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Setting the Stage

The United States government (the Executive and Congressional branches) – has taken an assertive stance with respect to the People’s Republic of China (“PRC” or “China”):

- **Executive orders** designed to manage China’s engagement in the U.S.
- **Regulations** that limit China’s access to U.S. products, technology and markets
- **Policies** designed to draw inferences regarding China’s actions – e.g., designations regarding “foreign adversaries”
- **Outreach** to allies and partners to share intelligence and other information regarding the Chinese government’s actions as well as the actions of various Chinese companies
- **Changes** in laws and regulations by allies and partners that impact China’s ongoing global engagements
Setting the Stage

These actions have elicited reactions from the Chinese government:

- Not inconsistent with other governments’ reactions when the U.S. applies restrictions to global or domestic activities
- Policy and regulatory changes in China
- Shifts in approach to the new Administration
- Preparation of a list of negotiating points to discuss with the Biden Administration
Select Trump Administration Actions from 2017-2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Designation of critical U.S. policy objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Diversify the U.S. supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Consistent use of sanctions and entity list designations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Expand domestic capacity to develop more resilience, independence and reliability – both unilateral and multilateral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Expand and encourage the growth of the U.S. industrial base (both defense and commercial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Manage blocking statutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Designation of critical U.S. policy objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Identify key emerging technologies that address U.S. national and economic security interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Manage adversarial relationships that create chokepoints for key U.S. systems – whether defense, intelligence, power, energy, financial, public welfare or communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Identify and use existing legal authorities to achieve policy objectives – the Defense Production Act critical and strategic materials designations, Section 232, Section 301, Section 201, Section 1237 of the NDAA of 1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Use of Executive Orders to Meet the Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select Action (by date signed)</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EO 13797</td>
<td>Establishment of the Office of Trade and Manufacturing Policy</td>
<td>April 29, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13806</td>
<td>Assessing &amp; Strengthening the Manufacturing and Defense Industrial Base and Supply Chain Resiliency of the United States</td>
<td>July 21, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13858</td>
<td>Strengthening Buy American Preferences for Infrastructure Projects</td>
<td>January 31, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13859</td>
<td>Maintaining American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence</td>
<td>February 11, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13873</td>
<td>Securing the Information and Communications Technology and Services Supply Chain</td>
<td>May 15, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13881</td>
<td>Maximizing Use of American-Made Goods, Products and Materials</td>
<td>July 15, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13913</td>
<td>Establishing the Committee for the Assessment of Foreign Participation in the United States Telecom Sector (former Team Telecom)</td>
<td>April 4, 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Use of Executive Orders to Meet the Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select Action (by date signed)</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EO 13920</td>
<td>Securing the United States Bulk Power System</td>
<td>May 1, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13936</td>
<td>The President’s Executive Order on Hong Kong Normalization</td>
<td>July 14, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13942</td>
<td>Addressing the Threat Posed by TikTok, and Taking Additional Steps to Address the National Emergency with Respect to the Information and Communications Technology and Services Supply Chain</td>
<td>August 6, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13943</td>
<td>Addressing the Threat Posed by WeChat, and Taking Additional Steps to Address the National Emergency with Respect to the Information and Communications Technology and Services Supply Chain</td>
<td>August 6, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13953</td>
<td>Addressing the Threat to the Domestic Supply Chain from Reliance on Critical Minerals from Foreign Adversaries and Supporting the Domestic Mining and Processing Industries</td>
<td>September 30, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13959</td>
<td>Addressing the Threat from Securities Investments that Finance Communist Chinese Military Companies</td>
<td>November 12, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13960</td>
<td>Promoting the Use of Trustworthy Artificial Intelligence in the Federal Government</td>
<td>December 3, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13971</td>
<td>Addressing the Threat Posed by Applications and Other Software Developed or Controlled by Chinese Companies</td>
<td>January 5, 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Use of Executive Orders to Meet the Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Select Action (by date signed)</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EO 14007</td>
<td>President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology</td>
<td>January 27, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 14014</td>
<td>Blocking Property With Respect to the Situation in Burma</td>
<td>February 10, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 14017</td>
<td>America’s Supply Chains</td>
<td>February 24, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 14024</td>
<td>Blocking Property With Respect To Specified Harmful Foreign Activities of the Government of the Russian Federation</td>
<td>April 15, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 14028</td>
<td>Improving the Nation’s Cybersecurity</td>
<td>May 12, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 14032</td>
<td>Addressing the Threat From Securities Investments That Finance Certain Companies of the People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>June 3, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 14033</td>
<td>Blocking Property and Suspending Entry Into the United States of Certain Persons Contributing to the Destabilizing Situation in the Western Balkans</td>
<td>June 8, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 14034</td>
<td>Protecting Americans’ Sensitive Data From Foreign Adversaries</td>
<td>June 9, 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Biden Administration Actions

Biden administration has continued many Trump policies and orders – often altered process/timing

- National security
- Economic security
- Domestic capabilities
- Independence and interdependence
- Global approach to resolving issues
- Revocation of prior Trump policies
Biden Administration Actions Since January 20, 2021

Key Actions

- EO 13992 (Revocation of Certain Executive Orders Concerning Federal Regulations)
- Presidential Actions
  - January 20, 2021 (Modernizing Regulatory Review Memorandum)
  - January 20, 2021 (Regulatory Freeze Pending Review Memorandum)
- Statements on the expanded use of the Defense Production Act authorities
Prior Policies and Executive Orders that Remain in Place

- Although policy changes have occurred, a number of policies remain in place and appear slated to do so for the foreseeable future

  - Buy American provisions
  - The Department of Justice China Initiative
  - Growth of the U.S. industrial base
  - A focus on critical and emerging technologies
  - Addressing chokepoints in the supply chain
  - Executive Orders related to supply chain concerns, foreign investment reviews, and public securities
Prior Policies and Executive Orders that Remain in Place

- Certain policies appear poised to continue, though with different processes and often more broadly emplaced
  - CCMC securities EO amended to remove reliance on section 1237
  - New “CMIC’s” list expanded
- Tariffs will remain “for now”
- EO ordering study of means to ensure protection of US citizens’ personal data (replaced the Tiktok and WeChat orders)
- Further threats of Executive Orders regarding Hong Kong and internal China activities
Factors that Could Change the U.S.-China Relationship

The China Policy Team – staff and issues

- Defense
- Commerce
- State
- Treasury
- USTR
- National Security Counsel Staff

Cabinet and undersecretary /assistant secretary
Managing Potential Changes

- Expectations fulfilled
  - Largely continuing rhetoric and policies but more “studies” and less change
  - Continuation of Entity List, CCMC/CMIC, and HKAA
  - Human rights moving center stage
    - The Biden doctrine is human rights centric
    - Willing to upend existing relationships based on internal human rights agenda; external NGO influences
  - Continued use of FDI—Magnachip CFIUS review
- Question for MNCs – How will the Chinese government react?
China’s Blocking Statutes
Blocking Statute on January 9 – An Overview

Rules on Counteracting Unjustified Extra-territorial Application of Foreign Legislation and Other Measures

- Issued by China’s Ministry of Commerce ("MOFCOM") on January 9
- Took immediate effect – but no public cases
- Vague and general in nature
- Aimed at blocking effect of extraterritorial laws impacting Chinese trade with entities in third jurisdictions
How Does the Blocking Statute of January 9 Work?

- Working Mechanism
- Prohibition Order
- Reporting obligation
- Confidentiality of reports
- Unclear scope of coverage – subsidiaries of MNCs in China, overseas Chinese subsidiaries, JVs in China?
- Compensatory damages via court proceedings
PRC Law to Counter Foreign Sanctions

- Issued by Standing Committee of the National People's Congress on June 10
- Took immediate effect
- Vague and general in nature
- Broad reach
How Does the Blocking Statute Work?

- Competent departments of the State Council (“State Council”)
  - May decide to include in a countermeasure list the individuals and organizations directly or indirectly involved in the development, decision-making, and implementation of the discriminatory restrictive measures against China or PRC nationals/organizations.
  - May decide to adopt countermeasures.
  - The decisions made by State Council is final, but may be suspended, modified or removed by the State Council if the relevant circumstance changes.

- Coordination and information sharing among the relevant work among gov’t dep’ts.
How Does the Blocking Statute Work? (cont’d)

Obligation to Abide by the Countermeasures

- Organizations and individuals within the territory of the PRC shall implement the countermeasures adopted by the State Council.

- Prohibition against organizations and individuals within the territory of the PRC from complying with or implementing discriminatory measures taken by foreign countries against Chinese citizens and organizations.
What Foreign Sanctions may be blocked?

- Violate international law or the basic principles of international relations;
- Contain or suppress China under various pretexts or pursuant to its own laws;
- Directly or indirectly involved in the development, decision-making, and implementation of the discriminatory restrictive measures against China or PRC nationals/organizations; and
- Meddle in China’s internal affairs.
- Conduct, assist in, or support acts that compromise China’s sovereignty, security, or development interests, and necessary countermeasures need to be adopted.
Who may be included on the Anti-Sanctions List or subject to the Countermeasures?

- **Foreign persons ✓**
  - MNC?
  - Management of MNC?
  - Spouses or immediate family of individuals?
  - Actual controller of MNC?
  - Affiliated companies?

- **Chinese persons ✓**
What are exemptions and penalties for violation?

**Exemptions**

- None
- No judicial appeal
- Countermeasures may be suspended, modified or removed by the State Council.

**Penalties for Violation**

- Failure to abide by the countermeasures would be punished by the State Council, including being restricted or prohibited from carrying out relevant activities.
- PRC individuals or organizations may sue the organizations or individuals implementing or assisting in the implementation of the foreign discriminatory restrictive measures and claim for the compensation.
Practically, what does this mean for MNCs?

- What corollary consequences might there be for MNCs following foreign sanctions?
  - Anti-Sanctions List and other Countermeasures
  - Social Credit System
  - Unreliable Entities List
- How is China likely to enforce the Blocking Statutes?
- What industries are likely to be impacted?
- Should companies look at lobbying their governments?
What can MNCs do to mitigate the risks?

- Consider conflict of laws between U.S. and China and assess compliance risks under both laws
- Look for possible reaction from foreign governments, especially Biden administration
- Watch for detailed implementing regulations
- Watch for issuance of Prohibition Orders from MOFCOM
- Consider impact on China-based subsidiaries and personnel
- Consider reporting obligations and risk of penalties and private lawsuits
- Review compliance clauses in existing contracts
- Consider amending termination rights
QUESTIONS?
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