

Labour & Employment

Contributing editors

Matthew Howse, Sabine Smith-Vidal, Walter Ahrens and Mark Zelek



2017

GETTING THE
DEAL THROUGH

United States

David A McManus and Michelle Seldin Silverman

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Legislation and agencies

1 What are the main statutes and regulations relating to employment?

In the United States, the employment relationship is governed by federal and state laws and, sometimes, by the laws of local government within states (counties, boroughs, cities and towns).

The primary federal laws that regulate various aspects of employment include the following:

- the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA), establishing the right of employees to form, join and assist labour unions, and the right to bargain collectively with the employer;
- the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), establishing minimum wages and the right to a premium wage rate for time worked in excess of 40 hours in a working week, as well as exemptions from those wage-rate obligations;
- the Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA), establishing minimum standards for safety and health in the work environment generally and for specific industries;
- the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), regulating the field of employee benefits such as pension and welfare plans;
- the Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA), establishing the right of eligible employees to take time off from work due to medical disability, in order to bond with a newborn, adopted or foster care-placed child, or to care for a family member who has a serious health condition or who is an ill or injured serviceman or servicewoman;
- the Immigration Reform and Control Act (IRCA), regulating immigration into the United States and providing that employers may only employ persons who can establish their identities and lawful rights to work in the United States; and
- the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the Dodd-Frank Act, establishing whistle-blowing protection for employees of publicly held companies (and any subsidiaries or affiliates whose financial information is included in consolidated financial statements) who make complaints or assist in investigations regarding shareholder fraud, accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters.

See question 2 for a discussion of the main federal anti-discrimination and anti-harassment laws. See question 41 for a discussion of the federal Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification (WARN) Act.

2 Is there any law prohibiting discrimination or harassment in employment? If so, what categories are regulated under the law?

Yes, in the United States, federal and state laws and, sometimes, the laws of local governments within states (counties, boroughs, cities and towns) prohibit discrimination or harassment in employment as a result of certain characteristics of the applicant or employee. The main federal laws are:

- Title VII of the Civil Rights Act (Title VII), prohibiting discrimination against and harassment of an individual on the basis of race, colour, gender, national origin, religion or pregnancy;
- the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA), prohibiting discrimination against and harassment of persons who are 40 years of age or older;

- the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), prohibiting discrimination against qualified individuals with a physical or mental disability, those with a history or record of a disability and persons associated with individuals who have a disability. The ADA also requires employers to provide reasonable accommodation to an individual with a disability that would enable the individual to overcome the limitations created by the disability so as to enable him or her to apply for a position or perform the essential functions of a position, if such accommodation does not result in undue hardship to the employer's operations;
- the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), prohibiting employers from using genetic information for decisions on hiring, firing, promotions, or job assignments, and prohibiting group health plans and health insurers from basing eligibility or premium determinations on genetic information;
- the Equal Pay Act (EPA), prohibiting sex discrimination in pay; and
- other federal statutes prohibiting discrimination based on citizenship and veteran status.

The Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act of 2008 (ADAAA) went into effect on 1 January 2009. The ADAAA makes important changes to the definition of the term 'disability', which has the impact of broadening the coverage for individuals who seek to establish that they have disabilities within the meaning of the ADA.

On 29 January 2009, the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act of 2009 (FPA) was signed into law, eliminating many statute of limitations defences to pay discrimination claims under federal employment laws such as Title VII, the ADEA and the ADA. The FPA amends Title VII by providing that an unlawful employment practice occurs each time an employer issues a pay cheque that has been affected by a prior discriminatory pay decision, regardless of when that initial alleged discriminatory pay decision was made. The FPA applies retroactively to all claims pending on or after 28 May 2007.

Also, virtually all 50 states have their own anti-discrimination and anti-harassment laws. Some state and local laws prohibit discrimination or harassment on the same bases covered by federal laws. Others prohibit discrimination or harassment on additional bases such as marital status, sexual orientation, gender identity, transgender status, domestic or civil union partner status, family status and appearance. All anti-discrimination and anti-harassment laws – federal, state and local – prohibit retaliation against employees for exercising their rights under such statutes by opposing or making complaints of discrimination or harassment, or participating in legal proceedings regarding discrimination or harassment.

3 What are the primary government agencies or other entities responsible for the enforcement of employment statutes and regulations?

Federal government agencies enforce federal employment laws. State government agencies enforce state employment laws. Most employment-related laws allow individuals to bring lawsuits in federal or state court to enforce the law at issue or to recover monetary damages for violation of that law. Some federal and state laws require individuals to pursue and exhaust their remedies with the specified government agency before filing lawsuits in a federal or state court.

The following federal government agencies enforce the corresponding federal employment laws:

- the United States Department of Labor, through its various divisions, enforces the FLSA, the FMLA, the OSHA and ERISA;
- the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) enforces Title VII, the ADEA, the ADA, the ADAAG, GINA and the EPA;
- the National Labor Relations Board administers the NLRA; and
- the United States Department of Justice enforces the non-discrimination requirements of the IRCA.

Worker representation

4 Is there any legislation mandating or allowing the establishment of employees' representatives in the workplace?

No.

5 What are their powers?

Not applicable.

Background information on applicants

6 Are there any restrictions or prohibitions against background checks on applicants? Does it make a difference if an employer conducts its own checks or hires a third party?

Federal law does not restrict background checks of applicants and employees as long as the employer conducts the check directly rather than through a third party. When an employer uses a third-party vendor to conduct the background check, however, the process is governed by the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA). The FCRA does not prohibit an employer from hiring a vendor to conduct background checks or from taking employment action based upon the results of such investigations, but it does require the employer to first provide notice and obtain permission from the applicant or employee. The FCRA also requires that notice be provided to applicants and employees before any adverse employment action can be taken based upon background check information, and the FCRA requires that applicants or employees be given the opportunity to correct or explain any negative information. The FCRA further requires employers to maintain the confidentiality of background check information, and places some limits on how this information can be used. It is also important to note that a number of states, including California and New York, have their own laws governing the use of background checks and impose additional requirements and restrictions on an employer's ability to obtain and use this information.

In April 2012, the EEOC issued guidance regarding when it is appropriate for an employer to use background check information relating to an applicant's criminal history. The EEOC's guidelines state that employers should exercise caution before excluding individuals from employment on the basis of a criminal history, and asks employers to avoid blanket exclusions unless there is a close link between the requirements of the job and the type of crime committed. Similarly, certain states and municipalities across the country have enacted legislation limiting the ability to inquire as to criminal records and the use of this information during the application process and in other employment decisions.

7 Are there any restrictions or prohibitions against requiring a medical examination as a condition of employment?

Yes, the ADA prohibits employers from conducting medical examinations or making pre-employment inquiries to determine whether an applicant has a disability or the nature or severity of the disability. Under the ADA, however, employers may require applicants to submit to post-offer medical examinations, which may be administered after the applicant has received a conditional offer of employment but before the applicant has commenced employment. Moreover, employers may condition offers of employment on the results of the post-offer medical examination if the following conditions are met:

- all entering employees in the same position are subjected to such examinations whether or not they have a disability;

- information obtained regarding an employee's medical condition or history is collected and maintained on separate forms and in separate medical files that are treated as confidential medical records; and
- the results of the examinations are used only in accordance with the provisions of the ADA, and if people with disabilities are excluded from the position on the basis of the examination, the examination must be job related and consistent with business necessity.

State laws may also provide restrictions on pre-employment medical and physical examinations of applicants.

8 Are there any restrictions or prohibitions against drug and alcohol testing of applicants?

Generally, pre-employment drug and alcohol testing is lawful under federal and state law where:

- the testing is required by law (eg, United States Department of Transportation drug and alcohol testing requirements) or is part of a lawful pre-employment medical examination required of every applicant for the same position;
- an applicant has notice of and consents to the testing requirement;
- the testing is conducted under conditions designed to minimise the intrusiveness of the procedure (eg, an applicant is not observed while furnishing the sample); and
- no specific medical information is reported to the employer; rather, the employer is only informed of a pass or no-pass result.

Drug and alcohol testing of applicants and employees is predominantly a subject of state law, which can vary widely from state to state.

Hiring of employees

9 Are there any legal requirements to give preference in hiring to, or not to discriminate against, particular people or groups of people?

There is no legal requirement to give preference in hiring to particular people or groups of people. The anti-discrimination laws that are discussed in question 2 prohibit discrimination against job applicants who are in protected categories.

10 Must there be a written employment contract? If yes, what essential terms are required to be evidenced in writing?

No.

11 To what extent are fixed-term employment contracts permissible?

State, not federal, law would govern the maximum duration of any fixed-term employment contract. Although generally there is no limitation on the duration of a fixed-term employment contract, such contracts in the United States are typically for a term of one to three years.

12 What is the maximum probationary period permitted by law?

There is no law (federal, state or local) that requires any probationary period at the beginning of the employment relationship. Unless the employer agrees to a probationary period – with an individual employee or with a representative of employees such as a union – it would be the employer's choice whether to establish a probationary period and, if so, whether such probationary period would be extended in the employer's discretion or only under certain circumstances. Most states, except Montana, do not require a probationary period.

See question 35 for a discussion of Montana's probationary period.

13 What are the primary factors that distinguish an independent contractor from an employee?

Control, dependence and risk of loss are among the primary factors used to distinguish between an independent contractor and an employee. An employee is generally an individual whose time, place and manner of providing services or results are controlled by or subject to the control of the employer. Generally, the employer provides the employee with the tools and means necessary for the work to be performed, the employee is economically dependent upon the employer,

and the employer bears the risk of loss if the work performed or results achieved by the employee are not satisfactory to the employer (eg, the employer must still pay the employee, and can only discipline or terminate the employee if the work or result is not satisfactory).

By contrast, an independent contractor is an individual or business entity that is generally retained to deliver a specific result and, except for deadline and security of intellectual property reasons, has the right to control the time, place and manner of performing the work necessary to provide the agreed-upon result. Independent contractors typically market their services to more than one entity, provide the tools and other means necessary to produce the result, and bear some risk of loss in the event they fail to deliver the result in a timely manner, or deliver results that are unsatisfactory in quality or quantity to the contracting business (eg, the contractor will not be paid).

In July 2015, the United States Department of Labor issued Administrator's Interpretation No. 2015-1 concerning the misclassification of employees as independent contractors. The Administrator's Interpretation states that whenever a worker is 'economically dependent' on an employer, the worker is an employee. In contrast, when a 'worker is in business for him or herself (ie, economically independent from the employer), then the worker is an independent contractor'.

14 Is there any legislation governing temporary staffing through recruitment agencies?

No.

Foreign workers

15 Are there any numerical limitations on short-term visas? Are visas available for employees transferring from one corporate entity in one jurisdiction to a related entity in another jurisdiction?

In the United States, there are numerical limitations on two significant temporary visa categories: H-1B and H-2B. H-1B visas are for professional workers coming into the United States to work temporarily for a US employer in a specialty occupation. A specialty occupation is one requiring, at a minimum, a baccalaureate degree in a specific academic discipline (or the equivalent in work experience), and the foreign national worker must have that educational background or the equivalent in work experience. Under current law, there are only 65,000 H-1B visas available each fiscal year. There is a separate allotment of 20,000 H-1B visas available to foreign workers who have obtained an advanced degree from a US institution of higher education, such as a US master's degree, PhD, juris doctor or other professional degree. Employers may apply for these H-1B visas beginning 1 April, six months before the start of the fiscal year in which the H-1B visa will become active.

US institutions of higher education and affiliated not-for-profit organisations, not-for-profit research organisations and US government research institutions are not subject to the H-1B cap. This means they may apply for H-1B visas for professional workers at any time. In addition, H-1B workers extending their stay or transferring from one cap-subject employer to another are not subject to the numerical limitation.

H-2B visas are for temporary workers who will work for US employers on temporary projects with a finite end, for seasonal workers and for workers who will fill a peak-load need. For example, many hospitality companies use the H-2B category to bring to the United States seasonal resort workers, ski instructors, etc. There is a numerical limitation of 66,000 H-2B visas available each fiscal year. Half of the allotment is made available for the first half of the fiscal year, and the second half is opened up in the second half of the fiscal year.

There are also work visas based on special legislation or trade treaties. The E-3 is a work visa available to nationals of Australia, and the H-1B1 is available to nationals of Chile and Singapore. These visas have requirements that are very similar to the H-1B in terms of the type of occupation and educational background required.

The L visa is available for employees transferring from a corporate entity abroad to a US parent, subsidiary, affiliate or branch of the foreign employer. In order to qualify for the L visa, the foreign worker must have worked for the related entity abroad for one of the prior three years in a managerial, executive or specialised knowledge capacity. The foreign national must be offered a position in the related US entity in a similar capacity. The L-1A visa, for managers and executives,

is valid for a total of seven years. The L-1B visa, for individuals with specialised company knowledge, is valid for a total of five years.

Sometimes a company may transfer a worker to the United States on an E visa. E visas are available to nationals of countries with which the United States has certain treaties of trade, investment, navigation, friendship or commerce. The company that will employ the foreign national in the United States must be majority owned by nationals of the treaty country or publicly traded on the stock exchange of the treaty country. The employing company must represent a substantial investment in the United States, or must conduct trade, at least 50 per cent of which must be between the United States and the treaty country. The foreign national must be a citizen of the same treaty country and must be entering the United States to assume a managerial, executive or essential function. There is no requirement that the E visa applicant work with a related entity abroad for a period of time before applying for the visa. E visas are typically granted for five years at a time and are renewable in most circumstances.

There are no numerical limitations on the number of L or E visas that may be issued each year.

16 Are spouses of authorised workers entitled to work?

Work authorisation is available to spouses of L and E visa holders. The work authorisation is unrestricted as to employers but is time-limited, and may be valid for one or two years. It is renewable for as long as the principal visa holder remains in L or E status. The couple must be legally married. Work authorisation is not available to non-spouse partners. The spouse of the L or E visa holder may apply for a work authorisation card (employment authorisation document) upon entry into the United States in L-2 or E-2 status. The processing time for these cards is usually 90 days.

17 What are the rules for employing foreign workers and what are the sanctions for employing a foreign worker that does not have a right to work in the jurisdiction?

Every US employer must verify the identity and work eligibility of every worker hired to perform services in the United States since 6 November 1986. The verification must be completed on Form I-9 within three business days of hire and maintained during the employment of the worker and for a period of time after separation or termination. Employers who fail to undertake verification of workers' identity and employment authorisation may face serious civil fines and, increasingly, criminal penalties. The Immigration and Customs Enforcement agency of the Department of Homeland Security may conduct audits and raids of employers to determine whether verification is taking place. Foreign nationals who work without appropriate authorisation in the United States may face difficulty receiving future immigration benefits, such as permanent residence, or, in egregious cases, may be removed from the United States and barred from returning for a certain period of time. In addition, the US government offers employers the use of an electronic verification database known as E-Verify. Use of E-Verify is currently optional for most US employers except for certain federal government contractors and companies doing business in certain states.

18 Is a labour market test required as a precursor to a short or long-term visa?

A labour market test is required as a precursor for two temporary visas. It is required for the H-2B visa discussed above for seasonal or peak-load workers, as well as for the H-2A visa for seasonal agricultural workers.

In addition, a labour market test is required as a first step for most employment-sponsored permanent residence applications. The process involves a highly structured recruitment campaign that complies with Department of Labor rules and an online attestation of recruitment activities. Employers are required by law to cover all fees and costs for such labour market tests.

Terms of employment

19 Are there any restrictions or limitations on working hours and may an employee opt out of such restrictions or limitations?

Generally, the FLSA does not limit or restrict the number of hours adult employees may work in a single working day or working week if

the employees agree to work those hours. However, depending upon an employee's job classification, if the employee works in excess of a certain number of hours per working day, or per working week, the employer may be required to pay the employee at premium wage rates for the excess hours under either the FLSA or applicable state laws. In addition, some state laws prohibit employers from requiring employees to work more than a certain number of hours per working day or per working week, and protect employees against retaliation by employers if the employees refuse to work in excess of such hours. Further, some states require employers to provide their employees with meal breaks and rest breaks after working a certain number of hours in a day or during certain times of the day. There may be other regulatory limitations on working hours for minors or adults in certain specific industries or positions (eg, commercial truck drivers, airline pilots).

20 What categories of workers are entitled to overtime pay and how is it calculated?

All employment positions are presumed to be subject to the minimum and overtime wage requirements of federal and state wage and hour laws, unless the employer can prove that the employee's compensation and job duties and responsibilities qualify the employee for one of the exemptions of the FLSA or applicable state wage-and-hour laws. If the employee is not exempt (ie, non-exempt), the employee is eligible for premium pay for overtime worked.

Under the FLSA, non-exempt employees are entitled to 1.5 times their regular rates of pay for all time worked in excess of 40 hours in one working week (defined as a recurring period of seven 24-hour periods). Regular rate of pay is calculated by taking into account the employee's hourly rate as well as any additional cash compensation entitlements, such as sales commissions, performance bonuses and certain other forms of compensation, such as meals and housing, provided by the employer.

Under some states' wage-and-hour laws, such as California law, a non-exempt employee's entitlement to overtime compensation is greater than that provided by the FLSA. For instance, while the FLSA requires that overtime compensation be paid at 1.5 times the employee's regular rate of pay for all time worked in excess of 40 hours in one working week, California law requires that overtime compensation be paid at 1.5 times the employee's regular rate of pay for all time worked in excess of eight hours, up to and including 12 hours, in one working day (defined as a recurring 24-hour period) or for all time worked in excess of 40 hours in one working week, and for the first eight hours worked on the seventh day the employee works in a working week. California law also provides for an overtime compensation rate equal to two times the employee's regular rate of pay for time worked in excess of 12 hours in one working day, and for time worked in excess of eight hours on the seventh day the employee works in a working week.

21 Can employees contractually waive the right to overtime pay?

In the United States, employees cannot waive their right to receive overtime payments and generally cannot agree to settle claims arising from an employer's failure to provide such payments, absent approval by a court or the United States Department of Labor (see *Boaz v FedEx Customer Information Servs, Inc*, 725 F.3d 603, 606 (6th Cir 2013) recognising that 'employees may not, either prospectively or retrospectively, waive their FLSA rights to minimum wages, overtime, or liquidated damages'; and *Lynn's Food Stores, Inc v United States*, 679 F.2d 1350, 1352-53 (11th Cir 1982) establishing the long-recognised exception for settlement agreements approved by a court or the Department of Labor). However, one federal circuit court of appeals has held that a union-negotiated settlement agreement may be enforceable without court or Department of Labor approval, where the agreement resolves 'claims predicated on a bona fide dispute about time worked and not as a compromise of guaranteed FLSA substantive rights themselves' (*Martin v Spring Break '83 Prods, LLC*, 688 F.3d 247, 255 (5th Cir 2012)).

22 Is there any legislation establishing the right to annual vacation and holidays?

No law (federal, state, or local) requires employers to provide employees with paid vacation or paid holidays. However, if an employer elects to provide its employees with such paid-time-off benefits, some states' laws regulate how an employer administers such benefits.

23 Is there any legislation establishing the right to sick leave or sick pay?

Medical leave

Federal law and some states' laws provide certain employees with unpaid medical leave. In particular, the federal FMLA provides that eligible employees may take leave for up to 12 weeks during a 12-month period if:

- the employee works for an employer that has at least 50 employees in the United States;
- the employee works at a location where the employer employs at least 50 employees within a 75-mile radius;
- the employee has been employed by the employer for at least 12 months;
- the employee has provided at least 1,250 hours of service to the employer during the past 12 months;
- the employee has not already used all of his or her 12 weeks of FMLA leave during the relevant 12-month period; and
- the employee is medically certified by a healthcare provider as being disabled due to a serious health condition as defined by the FMLA.

A number of states and localities have their own laws that parallel the FMLA. Some states have laws that provide greater rights to a medical leave than that provided by the FMLA.

Paid sick leave

Although there is no federal statute establishing the right of any employee to paid medical leave, in September 2015 President Obama issued an Executive Order requiring federal contractors to provide employees working on government contracts with seven days or more of paid sick time per year.

In the last few years, there has been an explosion of paid sick time laws enacted by states and municipalities. For example, in January 2012, Connecticut became the first state to require employers with 50 or more employees to provide up to five days of paid sick leave to their 'service worker' employees. Other states have since followed suit, passing laws that require employers to provide paid sick leave. This trend has grown among municipalities as well. Municipalities, such as San Francisco, California; New York, New York; the District of Columbia; Jersey City, New Jersey; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Seattle, Washington; and Portland, Oregon have enacted similar paid sick leave laws.

For example, San Francisco requires all employers to provide paid sick leave to employees (including temporary and part-time employees) who perform work in the city. Under the San Francisco Paid Sick Leave Ordinance, paid sick leave begins to accrue 90 calendar days after the commencement of employment, at an accrual rate of one hour of paid sick leave for every 30 hours worked. There is a cap of 40 hours of accrued paid sick leave for employees of employers for which fewer than 10 persons (including full-time, part-time and temporary employees) work for compensation during a given week. For employees of other employers, there is a cap of 72 hours of accrued paid sick leave. An employee's accrued paid sick leave carries over from year to year. Employees are entitled to paid sick leave for their own medical care and also to aid or care for a family member or designated person. Similar laws have been adopted in other California municipalities.

New York City has also passed its own paid sick leave act. Under the New York City Earned Sick Time Act (the Act), which took effect on 1 April 2014, employers with at least 20 employees 'within the City of New York' are required to provide their employees with paid sick leave. Only employees who work more than 80 hours per year, including full-time, part-time, and temporary or seasonal employees, are covered by the Act. These covered employees must accrue at least one hour of sick leave for every 30 hours worked, and are entitled to 40 hours of sick leave per calendar year. While the law states that accrued but unused sick leave shall carry over from year to year, employers may limit employee usage to a maximum of 40 hours per year. The Act provides that paid sick leave may be used for absences due to an employee's own medical care or the care of a family member in connection with a physical or mental illness, injury or health condition, and for closures of an employee's place of business or an employee's child's school or child-care provider due to a public health emergency.

Similarly, the District of Columbia requires employers to provide paid sick time. Under the Accrued Sick and Safe Leave Act, the amount of leave employers are obligated to provide varies depending on the size of the company – three to seven days per calendar year. Unused leave carries over annually, but an employer is never obligated to provide more leave than the required statutory maximum. Employees may use paid leave for absences resulting from their own medical care and the care of a family member in connection with a physical or mental illness, injury or mental condition, and for absences related to obtaining social, legal or medical services for the employee or a family member who was the victim of stalking, domestic violence or sexual abuse. These permissible uses are commonly found in paid sick time ordinances and laws enacted by other jurisdictions nationwide.

24 In what circumstances may an employee take a leave of absence? What is the maximum duration of such leave and does an employee receive pay during the leave?

Various federal and state laws establish the right of employees to take a leave of absence in certain circumstances.

As discussed in question 23, the FMLA establishes a right for an eligible employee to take medical leave of up to 12 weeks during a 12-month period if the employee cannot work due to a serious health condition, including temporary disability caused by pregnancy, childbirth or a related condition. Other qualifying reasons for leave under the FMLA are:

- child-bonding leave, for the employee to bond with a child under the age of 18 within one year of the child's birth, adoption, or foster-care placement with the employee;
- family care leave, for the employee to care for a parent, spouse, or child who has a serious health condition and who needs or could benefit from the employee's care;
- exigency leave, for the employee to tend to any qualifying exigency arising from a family member's (eg, spouse's, son's, daughter's or parent's) active-duty military service or call to active duty; and
- military caregiver leave of up to 26 weeks in a single 12-month period, for the employee to care for a family member (eg, spouse, son, daughter, parent or next of kin) who is an injured serviceman or servicewoman.

Passed on 28 October 2009, amendments to the FMLA expanded the coverage of exigency leave to include family members of the regular armed forces and of military caregiver leave to include family members of veterans. The employer is not required to pay employees during FMLA leave, although employees generally can use their accrued paid-time-off benefits (voluntarily provided by the employer) to continue pay during such leave, and in some cases employers can require employees to use their accrued paid-time-off benefits during FMLA leave.

The United States Department of Labor published final FMLA regulations in 2009 and additional regulations relating to military family leave in early 2013. Combined, these two sets of regulations mark the first major regulatory changes to the FMLA since its enactment in 1993. Among other things, the regulations have altered the notice and certification requirements of the FMLA. They have also provided clarification as to when an employee can take FMLA leave to care for a family member, and as to the documentation that an employer can require in connection with such leave requests. Furthermore, the new regulations provide substantial guidance as to employer and employee rights and responsibilities associated with exigency leave and military caregiver leave.

The federal Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act (USERRA) establishes the right of employees to leaves of absence due to military service. USERRA also establishes re-employment and other benefits protections for employees returning from cumulative periods of military leave of five years or less. USERRA does not require employers to provide employees with pay during military leave, but does require that employees on military leave be permitted to use their paid-time-off benefits (voluntarily provided by the employer) and to continue participating in certain of the employer's benefit plans during the military leave. Several states have enacted family military leave laws. For example, California requires employers with 25 or more employees to provide up to 10 days of unpaid leave to eligible employees who are spouses of deployed military servicemen

and servicewomen, to be taken when a military spouse is on leave from deployment during a time of military conflict.

Further, under the ADA and its state or local equivalents, or both, a leave of absence may be considered a reasonable accommodation for covered qualified employees with disabilities. The reasonableness of such an accommodation, including the duration of such leave, is determined on a case-by-case basis.

In addition, some states have laws that establish the right of employees to take unpaid time off from work for certain reasons such as to vote, to serve on a jury or to appear as witnesses in legal proceedings, to perform services as volunteer firefighters or emergency responders, to participate in school or day-care activities, or to seek medical services and legal recourse as victims of domestic abuse or violent crime.

25 What employee benefits are prescribed by law?

The only benefit that employers are mandated by law to provide to their employees is workers' compensation insurance. In general, workers' compensation insurance provides partial wage replacement payments and, if needed, medical services and treatment and vocational rehabilitation services to an employee who sustains a work-related illness or injury. Workers' compensation is a subject of state, not federal, law. Most states also require employers to contribute to state-administered unemployment and disability insurance funds for which employees may be eligible for benefits upon termination of employment or becoming disabled.

26 Are there any special rules relating to part-time or fixed-term employees?

No.

Post-employment restrictive covenants

27 To what extent are post-termination covenants not to compete, solicit or deal valid and enforceable?

The validity and enforceability of employee covenants not to compete, solicit or deal are a matter of state, not federal, law. Under some states' laws, such as California law, covenants not to compete, solicit customers or deal are void as being against public policy and are unlawful except in very limited circumstances, such as when given in connection with the sale of a business entity or sale of all or substantially all of the assets of a business entity.

However, most of the 50 states recognise as valid, and will enforce, a covenant not to compete, solicit or deal as long as:

- the covenant is supported by adequate consideration;
- the covenant is necessary to protect a legitimate business interest of the employer; and
- the covenant is reasonable in time, subject matter and geographical reach consistent with the employer's legitimate business interest.

Some states, such as New York, consider whether the former employee's services are unique or extraordinary. In California, covenants not to solicit employees are valid and enforceable if they are not deemed an unreasonable restraint on competition.

28 Must an employer continue to pay the former employee while they are subject to post-employment restrictive covenants?

Generally, there is no requirement that an employer continue to pay a former employee while he or she is subject to post-employment restrictive covenants, in the absence of a contractual agreement between the employer and employee to do so. In some states, however, payment during the restricted period will increase the likelihood that a court will find the covenant reasonable and enforceable.

Liability for acts of employees

29 In which circumstances may an employer be held liable for the acts or conduct of its employees?

Generally, employees are agents of the employer and act on behalf of and for the benefit of the employer when performing their jobs. Accordingly, employers can be held liable for the harm resulting from acts and omissions of their employees occurring in the scope and course of the employees' employment.

However, a 2013 United States Supreme Court decision, *Vance v Ball State University* (133 S Ct 2434), limited the scope of employees who are considered 'supervisors' such that employers can be held liable for their conduct. In *Vance*, the Supreme Court ruled that an employee is only a supervisor for purposes of imposing liability on an employer if the supervisor has the power to take 'tangible employment actions against the victim', which include such actions as hiring, firing, failing to promote, reassignment with significantly different responsibilities, or a decision causing a significant change in benefits. If a supervisor does not meet these standards, the employer cannot be held vicariously liable for the supervisor's actions.

Taxation of employees

30 What employment-related taxes are prescribed by law?

Employers are required by federal, state and local tax laws to withhold from employee wages the following as taxes: US Social Security tax, US Medicare tax, US income tax and, if applicable, state income tax and local income tax. In addition, some states also require employers to withhold additional taxes from employee wages to fund certain government-sponsored and government-administered unemployment programmes, such as a state disability insurance benefit programme.

Employee-created IP

31 Is there any legislation addressing the parties' rights with respect to employee inventions?

Yes, most states have laws allowing an employer to require its employees, as a condition of employment, to assign all inventions to the employer except if an invention:

- is not developed by an employee using any of the employee's working time for the employer; and
- is not developed by use of any employer equipment, supplies, facilities or trade-secret information.

However, even if these two requirements are met, the employer can still require the employee to assign an invention to the employer if the invention:

- at the time of conception or reduction to practice by the employee, relates to the employer's business or to the employer's actual anticipated research or development; or
- results from any work performed by the employee for the employer.

32 Is there any legislation protecting trade secrets and other confidential business information?

Various federal and state laws protect trade secrets and confidential business information. Under federal law, the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (CFAA) prohibits accessing a protected computer without authorisation or exceeding authorisation for the purposes of obtaining information, causing damages or perpetrating a fraud. The CFAA is primarily a criminal statute, but it also provides for civil liability and has been used by employers against former employees who unlawfully accessed computer systems. Many states also have legislation to protect trade secrets and confidential business information, such as the New Jersey Computer Related Offenses Act and the Massachusetts Taking of Trade Secrets law. Many states also have common law causes of action that can be used by employers when employees or former employees misappropriate confidential and proprietary business information.

See also the 'Update and trends' section for a discussion of the new federal Defend Trade Secrets Act.

Data protection

33 Is there any legislation protecting employee privacy or personnel data? If so, what are an employer's obligations under the legislation?

There is no federal legislation that protects employee privacy or personal data per se. Privacy protection is primarily a function of state law; however, certain provisions of some federal laws aim to protect employee privacy and personal data. The ADA requires employers to maintain the confidentiality of information and records on an employee's health and medical condition. The FCRA permits an employer to

obtain background information on an applicant or employee through a third party, but only if the applicant or employee authorises the background investigation and delivery of results to the employer. The FCRA also limits employers' use of background check information, requires employers to maintain the confidentiality of background check information, and requires destruction of records containing such information by means that prevent the reconstruction of such information.

Many of the 50 states have either a state constitutional provision or statutes that protect the privacy of certain information, including medical, personal, financial and background check information. To the extent an employer collects and maintains records of such information on applicants and employees, the employer also must comply with those laws.

Business transfers

34 Is there any legislation to protect employees in the event of a business transfer?

There is no law (federal, state or local) that protects employees in the event of a business transfer. However, if an employer must lay off employees in connection with the business transfer and such layoff is covered by the WARN Act, the affected employees are entitled to receive 60 days' advance notice of termination.

Termination of employment

35 May an employer dismiss an employee for any reason or must there be 'cause'? How is cause defined under the applicable statute or regulation?

Unless the employer contractually agrees otherwise (either in an individual employment or a collectively bargained agreement), most employment in the United States is 'at will', meaning that it is not for any specific period of time, and the employer and employee each have the legal right to terminate the employment relationship at any time, with or without advance notice or procedures and with or without any particular cause or reason. However, employers cannot terminate even at will employees for a reason that is unlawful under federal, state or local law. The state of Montana does not recognise at-will employment after a six-month probationary period. In that state, after the probationary period has elapsed, an employer may only terminate an employee for 'good cause', which is defined as 'reasonable job-related grounds for dismissal based on a failure to satisfactorily perform job duties, disruption of operations, or other legitimate business reason'.

36 Must notice of termination be given prior to dismissal? May an employer provide pay in lieu of notice?

Advance notice of dismissal or pay in lieu of such notice is not required by any federal, state or local law, unless the termination of employment is due to a mass layoff or plant closing as those terms are specifically defined under the WARN Act or any counterpart state law applicable to the employer. However, an employer may contractually agree to provide employees with advance notice of dismissal or pay in lieu of advance notice.

37 In which circumstances may an employer dismiss an employee without notice or payment in lieu of notice?

Unless the employer has contractually agreed to provide its employees with advance notice of dismissal or pay in lieu of advance notice (either in an individual employment or a collectively bargained agreement), or the termination of employment is due to a mass layoff or a plant closing under the WARN Act or any applicable state law counterpart, advance notice or pay in lieu of such notice is not required.

38 Is there any legislation establishing the right to severance pay upon termination of employment? How is severance pay calculated?

No federal, state or local law establishes a right to severance pay upon termination of employment. Whether to provide severance pay and, if so, in what form or amount, are determinations made by the employer or may be required in an individual employment or a collectively bargained agreement.

39 Are there any procedural requirements for dismissing an employee?

No, unless the employer has contractually agreed to such procedures in an individual employment or a collectively bargained agreement. Many states do require, however, that terminated employees be provided information relating to their medical insurance benefits and eligibility for unemployment compensation insurance benefits.

40 In what circumstances are employees protected from dismissal?

An employee may be protected from dismissal if the employer has entered into an individual employment or a collectively bargained agreement that requires that certain reasons exist or certain procedures be followed, including due process procedures, before terminating the employment relationship. Even if an employee is employed at will and typically is not protected from dismissal, various federal and state laws provide the employee with the right to file a claim for damages with a government agency or a federal or state court if the reason for the dismissal is an unlawful reason. When such a claim is filed, the employee sues the former employer for the economic damages resulting from the unlawful termination (typically, past and future earnings and value of lost benefits). Depending on the type of claim, a former employee may also sue the former employer for additional monetary damages:

- to compensate the former employee for emotional pain and suffering caused by the unlawful termination;
- to recover the attorneys' fees and costs of suit the employee incurred in prosecuting his or her claim;
- to punish the employer for its conduct; or
- to recover penalties that may be authorised by a specific statute under which a claim is brought.

Under certain claims, the former employee may request reinstatement of employment.

41 Are there special rules for mass terminations or collective dismissals?

Yes. The WARN Act generally requires an employer with 100 or more employees in the United States to provide its employees, and others, with 60 days' advance notice if the employer will conduct a mass lay-off or a plant closing, as those terms are specifically defined in the WARN Act. In addition to employees, others who are entitled to such advance notice are the employees' union, the state government, and certain local government officials. If the employer fails to provide the required notice, employees may file a lawsuit against the employer for the pay and value of certain ERISA-governed benefits the employees would have received during the period, up to 60 days, for the number of days that advance notice should have been given. In addition, the local government may also recover a penalty of US\$500 per day for up to 60 days for the number of days that advance notice should have been, but was not, given to the local government official.

Some states, such as California and New York, also have their own laws that impose similar advance notice requirements as well as other requirements on employers in connection with layoffs and closures affecting a certain number of employees. These state laws typically cover smaller layoffs and closures than the WARN Act.

42 Are class or collective actions allowed or may employees only assert labour and employment claims on an individual basis?

Yes, individual employees may assert claims on behalf of other individuals through class or collective actions, and such claims have become extremely prevalent over the past decade. In a class action, all individuals who fall within the class definition will be deemed to be part of the class unless they affirmatively 'opt out' of the class. In a collective action, on the other hand, only those individuals who affirmatively 'opt in' will be deemed to be part of the class. In class or collective actions, employers may be required to disclose to opposing counsel the names and addresses of all employees, current and former, who may be part of the class so that opposing counsel may contact them.

Update and trends

In May 2016, the Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 (DTSA) was signed into law. The DTSA created a new federal cause of action allowing employers to pursue injunctive relief, damages and exemplary damages (up to two times the amount of damages) for trade secrets misappropriation. The prevailing party in a DTSA action may also recover attorney fees in certain circumstances.

In addition, the DTSA contains a provision designed to protect whistleblowers. Specifically, it provides that an individual shall not be held criminally or civilly liable under any federal or state trade secret law for a trade secret disclosure made in confidence to a government official or to an attorney so long as the information is disclosed for the purpose of reporting or investigating an alleged violation of law or the disclosure is made in a court document filed in a lawsuit under seal and not disclosed to the public.

Further, the DTSA requires that employers provide employees with notice of the whistle-blower protection in certain contracts. In particular, employers must add an explicit, written disclosure concerning the whistle-blower protections to every contract, including, for example, an employment or separation agreement, with an employee, contractor or consultant that governs the use of trade secrets or other confidential information. An employer that fails to comply with the new disclosure requirement will forfeit the ability to recover exemplary damages or attorney fees under the DTSA.

43 Does the law in your jurisdiction allow employers to impose a mandatory retirement age? If so, at what age and under what limitations?

Generally, the imposition of a mandatory retirement age is not allowed, though there may be exceptions in certain specific industries.

Dispute resolution

44 May the parties agree to private arbitration of employment disputes?

Generally, yes. However, whether a court will enforce an employment arbitration agreement when the dispute to be arbitrated arises under a federal statute, a state statute, or state common law is an issue that continues to be extensively litigated. Moreover, litigation is often initiated over the circumstances of entering into the arbitration agreement and its terms.

In addition, because arbitration agreements constitute a waiver of the right to a jury trial, arbitration agreements are subject to state contract law as well as state statutory law. Some states, such as California, have developed specific standards that must be met if an employment arbitration agreement is to be enforced. Because state laws can differ in these respects, agreements to arbitrate employment disputes must be carefully drafted.

45 May an employee agree to waive statutory and contractual rights to potential employment claims?

Generally, yes. However, an employee cannot waive claims based on acts or omissions that have not yet occurred. Moreover, a waiver of minimum wage, overtime and certain other wage claims generally requires court or Department of Labor approval to be enforceable. Some states' laws prohibit waivers of workers' compensation insurance benefits and waivers of unemployment insurance benefits; rights under certain federal laws such as the NLRA also cannot be waived.

Under contract law of most states, a waiver is valid and enforceable if it is given knowingly and voluntarily, and in exchange for something of value to which the individual giving the waiver is not already entitled. Some statutes establish additional substantive and procedural requirements for a valid waiver of claims. For example, the ADEA requires that a waiver of age claims under the ADEA meet certain requirements based on the context in which the waiver is being given, including but not limited to a minimum period of time for the individual to consider and sign the waiver and a seven-day period after signing within which to revoke the waiver. Under California law, a waiver of unknown claims

arising from past acts or omissions is not valid unless the waiver also includes an express waiver of rights under the California Civil Code, section 1542.

On 15 July 2009, the EEOC issued new guidance (EEOC Guidance) on discrimination waivers and releases contained in employee severance agreements. The EEOC Guidance addresses all types of discrimination waiver and release requirements, and contains specific examples and numerous questions and answers that should be taken into account by employers when dealing with waiver and release issues in severance agreements.

46 What are the limitation periods for bringing employment claims?

The limitation periods vary based on the statutory or common law basis for employment-related claims. In general, however, the limitation periods for most employment-related claims range from one to three years. Claims under some state laws typically can be brought as late as four to five years, and under other states' laws as late as 10 years, in limited circumstances, after the alleged wrongful act, omission or resulting harm.

Morgan Lewis

David A McManus
david.mcmanus@morganlewis.com

Michelle Seldin Silverman
michelle.silverman@morganlewis.com

101 Park Avenue
New York
NY 10178-0060
United States
Tel: +1 212 309 6000
Fax: +1 212 309 6001

502 Carnegie Center
Princeton
NJ 08540-6241
United States
Tel: +1 609 919 6600
Fax: +1 609 919 6701

www.morganlewis.com

Getting the Deal Through

Acquisition Finance
Advertising & Marketing
Agribusiness
Air Transport
Anti-Corruption Regulation
Anti-Money Laundering
Arbitration
Asset Recovery
Aviation Finance & Leasing
Banking Regulation
Cartel Regulation
Class Actions
Commercial Contracts
Construction
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Cybersecurity
Data Protection & Privacy
Debt Capital Markets
Dispute Resolution
Distribution & Agency
Domains & Domain Names
Dominance
e-Commerce
Electricity Regulation
Energy Disputes
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment & Climate Regulation
Equity Derivatives
Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits
Financial Services Litigation
Fintech
Foreign Investment Review
Franchise
Fund Management
Gas Regulation
Government Investigations
Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation
High-Yield Debt
Initial Public Offerings
Insurance & Reinsurance
Insurance Litigation
Intellectual Property & Antitrust
Investment Treaty Arbitration
Islamic Finance & Markets
Labour & Employment
Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy
Licensing
Life Sciences
Loans & Secured Financing
Mediation
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining
Oil Regulation
Outsourcing
Patents
Pensions & Retirement Plans
Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Ports & Terminals
Private Antitrust Litigation
Private Banking & Wealth Management
Private Client
Private Equity
Product Liability
Product Recall
Project Finance
Public-Private Partnerships
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Restructuring & Insolvency
Right of Publicity
Securities Finance
Securities Litigation
Shareholder Activism & Engagement
Ship Finance
Shipbuilding
Shipping
State Aid
Structured Finance & Securitisation
Tax Controversy
Tax on Inbound Investment
Telecoms & Media
Trade & Customs
Trademarks
Transfer Pricing
Vertical Agreements

Also available digitally



Online

www.gettingthedealthrough.com



Labour & Employment
ISSN 1750-9920



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS
FOR ENTERPRISE:
2012



Official Partner of the Latin American
Corporate Counsel Association



Strategic Research Sponsor of the
ABA Section of International Law