

Morgan Lewis

OCIE Training Session

September 19, 2011

Wrap Fee Programs

Jennifer L. Klass
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Steven W. Stone
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

www.morganlewis.com

Overview

- Architecture of Wrap Fee Programs
- SEC Focus on Wrap Fee Programs
- Disclosure Framework for Sponsors and Managers
- Advertising and Marketing Issues for Sponsors and Managers
- Sponsor Due Diligence of Portfolio Managers
- Suitability, Individualization and Rule 3a-4 Issues
- Best Execution, Trading and Investment Opportunity Issues
- Recent Trends in Wrap Fee Products



Architecture of Wrap Fee Programs

Architecture of Wrap Fee Programs

- Brief History
- Participating Firms
 - Sponsors (Brokers, Advisers & Banks)
 - Portfolio Managers (Advisers & Banks)
- Covered Investments
- Allocation of Functions
- Client Fees
- Investment Company Status
- Disclosure Framework



SEC Focus on Wrap Fee Programs

SEC Focus on Wrap Fee Programs

- Overselling Customization
 - Wrap fee programs marketed as having tax-advantages but in reality do very little in the way of tax analysis
- Suitability Issues
 - Recommending wrap fee accounts when a mutual fund may offer the same (or similar) strategy for less
- Excessive Fees and “reverse churning” issues
- Manager Due Diligence & Conflicts
- Misleading Advertising
- Point of Sale Issues



Disclosure Framework for Sponsors and Managers

Disclosure Framework for Sponsors and Managers

- Form ADV, Part 2A
 - Transitioned sponsors from Schedule H to Appendix 1
 - Expanded brochure delivery requirements for wrap fee programs
 - Clarified brochure delivery requirements for wrap fee programs
 - Manager may delegate the task (not the obligation) for brochure delivery to the sponsor
 - Evidence of delivery may be retained in the offices of the sponsor, not manager, so long as records are produced promptly

Disclosure Framework for Sponsors and Managers

- Form ADV, Part 2B
 - Structure and allocation of advisory functions in wrap programs results in multiple brochure supplement delivery obligations
 - Formulating advice with direct client contact – sponsor role
 - Making discretionary investment decisions for client, even if no direct client contact – manager role
 - Exceptions to brochure supplement delivery obligations apply in wrap context



Advertising and Marketing Issues for Sponsors and Managers

Issues From the Sponsor's Perspective

- Application of FINRA Rule 2210
 - Related Performance
 - Backtested Performance
 - Blended Performance
 - Target, Projected and Assumed Rates of Return
 - Investment Analysis Tools (FINRA Conduct Rule IM-2210-6)
 - Mutual and Hedge Fund Performance
 - Index Performance

Issues From the Manager's Perspective

- CFA Institute Guidance Statement on Wrap Fee Performance
- Manager Reliance on Sponsor to Present Performance



Sponsor Due Diligence of Portfolio Managers

Sponsor Due Diligence of Portfolio Managers

- Disclosure Obligations to Clients
 - Disclose to Financial Advisor or directly to Client
 - Disclose “Watch List” status
 - Timing of disclosure
- Conflicts of Interest
 - Use of affiliated Managers
 - Managers that direct/step out trades to Financial Advisors/BD affiliates of Sponsor
 - Revenue sharing payments to Sponsor or affiliate, by mutual funds affiliated with Manager
 - Manager sponsorship of conferences, etc. for Sponsor’s Financial Advisors
 - Home office initiated programs and Manager assistance with branch office marketing
 - ADV/Investment Management Agreement Disclosures
- Policies and Procedures, Including Information Barriers
 - Manager selection process – Level of review (i.e. rely on Manager certifications?)

Sponsor Due Diligence of Portfolio Managers

- Use of third parties
- Differences across a Sponsor's programs
 - Evaluation of same manager in different programs
 - Sponsor mergers – Managers recommended by predecessor firm, but not by acquiring firm
 - Inclusion in “No Due Diligence” programs of managers not recommended in other programs (and concerns with Financial Advisors recommending Managers in “No Due Diligence” programs)
- Due diligence on affiliated managers
 - Use of third party research firms to evaluate affiliated managers
 - Independence of third party firms retained to evaluate affiliated managers

Sponsor Due Diligence of Portfolio Managers

- Factors to Consider when Determining whether to Add, Suspend or Terminate Managers
 - Quality of Composite and Dispersion in Performance
 - Trading Issues
 - Legal & Regulatory Matters
 - Business operations (including size and longevity of Firm; quality of personnel; personnel changes; retention incentives for key personnel; and client servicing capabilities)
 - Investment Process and Implementation
 - Research Capabilities
 - Performance analysis (not necessarily the primary factor)
- Monitoring Obligations (Ongoing Due Diligence)
 - Process (periodic or ongoing)
 - Level of review (independent review of news and other documentation or reliance of manager representations)
 - Communication of review (to Financial Advisors or directly to clients)

Dealing with Manager Terminations

- Probation/Watch List processes
- Manager terminations
 - Manager substitutions and authority/responsibility issues
 - Client requests to stick with terminated managers



Suitability, Individualization and Rule 3a-4 Issues

Suitability, Individualization and Rule 3a-4 Issues

- Suitability Issues
 - How to Gauge and Who is Responsible
 - OCIE's Summer Mini Sweep
 - Five Dimensions
 - The Bundled Fee & Undertrading
 - Special Issues with MDAs
- Investment Company Status - Rule 3a-4
 - Individualization
 - Administering Client Restrictions

Suitability Issues

- Lots of experience, limited guidance
- Where do suitability obligations come from?
- When do they apply?
- Whose job is it anyway?

Suitability Issues: Five Dimensions

1. Is the portfolio manager suitable for the program?
 - “Reasonable basis” / Diligence varies
 - “Say what you do” approach reflected in Appendix 1
2. Is the program suitable for the client?
 - James Edmund Wilson no-action letter (9/89)
3. Is the chosen strategy suitable for the client?
 - “Out of category” requests
 - Non-Customized Wrap Fee Accounts versus Comparable Mutual Funds
4. Is the portfolio manager suitable for the client?
 - Client restrictions & ERISA accounts
 - Suspension & termination raise delicate issues
 - Clients who elect to stay with replaced managers
5. Is the portfolio manager’s trading suitable for the client?

Suitability: The Bundled Fee

- FINRA & NYSE Focus on Fee-Based Brokerage Accounts
 - **FINRA NTM 03-68:**
 - *“Members must have reasonable grounds for believing that a fee-based program is appropriate for a customer given the services provided, cost, and customer preferences”*
 - *“It generally is inconsistent with just and equitable principles to place a customer in an fee-based account that reasonably can be expected to result in a greater cost than an alternative offered by the member that provides the same services & benefits”*
 - **According to the FINRA, members must**
 - *Have reasonable basis before opening to believe a fee-based account is appropriate*
 - *Disclose all material components of a fee-based program (e.g., fee schedule, services provided, and that the program may cost more than paying for services separately)*
 - **Absent “inducement by the member,” no suitability liability will arise if**
 - *Member discloses that a potentially lower cost account is available*
 - *Member has documented & can demonstrate the customer chose for reasons beyond cost*

Investment Company Status - Rule 3a-4

- Individualized Management
 - Customer Contact
 - *At the opening of the account*
 - *Annually*
 - *Quarterly*
 - *Access to portfolio manager*
 - Customer Reporting
- Indicia of Ownership - Right to:
 - *Withdraw cash/securities*
 - *Vote securities, or delegate authority to another*
 - *Receive confirmations and other documents*
 - *Sue as a security holder*
- Reasonable Restrictions
- Current Focus on Rule 3a-4 & Industry Practice
 - ICI Petition
 - SEC Sweep

Administering Client Restrictions

- “Reasonable restriction” concept under Rule 3a-4
 - Limited guidance
 - SEC & ICI focus
- Tips - Only accept restrictions that can be administered practically
 - Industry classifications
- Impractical restrictions
 - Bars on stocks subject to 3rd-party social ratings that may be hard to monitor
 - Bars on stocks based on shifting criteria
- Accounts Requiring “Special Handling” (e.g., Foreign Accounts, Public Entities & State Pension Funds, Trusts & Guardianships, and Native American Tribes)
- Closely scrutinize client written investment policy statements

Administering Client Restrictions

- Create a formal process and a committee to oversee it
 - Establish acceptable restrictions
 - Periodically review client requests
 - If a client wishes to impose a new restriction, it should be taken under consideration by the committee and the portfolio manager – which may delay the acceptance of the client's account



Best Execution, Trading and Investment Opportunity Issues

Best Execution, Trading and Investment Opportunity Issues

- Buyside Challenges of Best Execution in Wrap Fee Program Trading
- Finding The Lesser Evil: Current Solutions In Wrap Trading
- Who Is Responsible for Best Execution in Wrap Trading?
- Challenges For Broker-dealers

Buyside Challenges of Best Execution in Wrap Fee Program Trading

- Broker selection: Is there a choice?
- Who gets disadvantaged, directed or non-directed clients?
- The disclosure of holdings and the risks of front-running
- Agency, cross, and principal transactions
- Investment opportunity issues

Finding The Lesser Evil: Current Solutions In Wrap Trading

- Trading Last
- Segregating WRAP Trading
- Rotation
- Stepping Out/Pro-Rata Allocations
- Other types of tradeaways

Who Is Responsible for Best Execution in Wrap Trading?

- Sponsor
- Portfolio manager
- Both

Challenges For Broker-dealers

- Agency, cross, and principal transaction rules
- Regulation T and “Letters of Free Funds”
- Discretionary trades with exchange members
- Limit-order protection
- Monitoring portfolio manager trading practices
 - Approach to trade allocation



Recent Trends in Wrap Fee Products

Recent Trends in Wrap Fee Products

- Development of Model Account Programs
- Migration to UMA Structures
- Use of Mutual Funds, ETFs and Hedge Funds
- Use of Variable Annuities

Hedge Funds in Wrap Fee Programs

- Types of hedge funds
- Marketing and private placement issues
 - Regulation D
 - FINRA advertising issues & risk disclosures
- Suitability issues
 - Due diligence
 - Layering of fees
 - Liquidity & complications in rebalancing
- Eligibility issues
 - Accredited investor/qualified purchaser issues
 - ERISA issues
- Reporting issues
 - Valuation
- Compensation issues

Variable Annuities in Wrap Fee Programs

- Regulatory Backdrop
 - FINRA Concerns & Proposed Risk Disclosure Document
 - Recent FINRA Enforcement Actions
- Suitability
 - Both at annuity contract level and the underlying fund level
 - Layering of fees and costs
 - *Surrender charges and IRS penalties*
 - *Sales charges*
 - *Fees (including mortality and administrative fees, investment advisory fees and charges for riders or special features)*
- Licensing and agent appointments
 - Finders fee issues

Morgan Lewis

OCIE Training Session

September 19, 2011

Wrap Fee Programs

Jennifer L. Klass
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Steven W. Stone
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

www.morganlewis.com